

# **PFCC Decision Report**

Report reference number: 166-22

Classification: OFFICIAL

Title of report: The Kent Police and Essex Police Joint Serious Crime Directorate -

Police Force and Elected Local Policing Body Section 22A Police Act 1996

Collaborative Agreement

**Area of county / stakeholders affected:** Essex Police, PFCC for Essex and PCC for Kent.

**Report by:** TLT Solicitors and Christina Drewitt (Senior Business Manager – Serious Crime Command)

Chief Officer: ACC Andrew Pritchard

Date of report: 25th November 2022

**Enquiries to:** Christina Drewitt (Senior Business Manager – Serious Crime

Command)

## 1. Executive Summary

The existing Serious Crime Directorate collaborative legal agreement (S23) has never been officially reviewed or amended since the Directorate's inception in 2010. SCD has worked with TLT Solicitors, the Force Solicitors, Finance and HR in both forces to draft a new S22A agreement which sets out the legal provisions for the SCD collaboration.

### 2. Recommendations

It is recommended that the PFCC considers and approves the new S22A agreement between Kent and Essex for the provision of the Serious Crime Directorate appended to this report, which provides operational capability in line with the Strategic Policing Requirement across both Essex and Kent for the following areas:

- Intelligence
- Major, Economic and Cyber Crime
- Investigatory Powers
- Dedicated Source Unit
- Covert and Serious Organised Crime
- Forensic Services
- Case Review and Holmes

There are no resource implications in relation to this proposed legal document.

# 3. Background to the Proposal

The S22A Police Act 1996 (as amended) enables police forces, local policing bodies and other parties to make an agreement to collaborate with one another, to discharge the functions of police officers and staff in the interest of efficiency and effectiveness. Collaboration has been and is considered important by successive governments and is regarded as a key to identifying underlying problems that can be addressed to reduce cross border crime whilst achieving efficiencies and economies of scale.

The S22A Agreement sets out the provisions under which the Kent and Essex SCD collaboration operates which includes the following provisions:

- Operational units
- Tasking arrangements
- Agreed term
- Governance arrangements
- Funding and payment arrangements
- Sharing of costs, savings, and income
- Performance monitoring and review
- Insurance and indemnity
- Audit and inspection
- Staff
- Direction and control
- Discipline
- Vetting
- Health and safety
- Policy and procedures
- Support services
- Information management
- Freedom of information requests
- Assets and liabilities
- Intellectual property rights
- Premises
- Legal compliance
- Resolution of disputes
- Termination of agreement

The existing S23 collaborative agreement between Kent and Essex for the provision of the Serious Crime Directorate was signed by the Essex Police Authority in September 2010. This agreement is out of date and is proposed to be replaced by the S22A agreement appended. The structures within SCD have changed over the collaborative period as have the governance arrangements; it is therefore imperative that the agreement is updated and reviewed.

# 4. Proposal and Associated Benefits

The draft S22A Agreement for SCD provides the following benefits:

- Compliance with Section 22A Police Act 1996 (Collaboration Agreements)
- Updated framework for the SCD collaboration
- Sets out the provisions under which the SCD operates
- Removes ambiguity from the existing S23 agreement
- Removes the risk of criticism should Essex and Kent be inspected in relation to compliance with the S22A agreement

## 5. Options Analysis

The alternatives to agreeing the draft S22A agreement are:

- To not approve the legal agreement which will result in SCD not having an updated legal agreement between Kent and Essex
- To provide guidance on amendments which can be considered and incorporated into the draft document

## 6. Consultation and Engagement

The draft SCD S22A Agreement has been reviewed by the following individuals across both forces:

Mark Gilmartin – Director of Kent & Essex Support Services Bev Newman – Head of Legal Services – Kent Adam Hunt – Force Solicitor – Essex Debbie Martin – Chief Finance Officer – Essex Jonathan Castle – Chief Finance Officer – Kent Alison Brett – Head of Operational HR

Legal guidance has also been provided by TLT Solicitors.

## 7. Strategic Links

The work of the Serious Crime Directorate links to the following areas of the Police and Crime Plan:

Reducing drug driven violence
Protecting vulnerable people and breaking the cycle of domestic abuse
Reducing violence against women and girls
Preventing business crime, fraud, and cyber crime

Improving safety on our roads Increasing collaboration

The Chief Constable and the Police, Fire and Crime Commissioner also have a legal duty to deliver the Strategic Policing Requirement (SPR) 2012. The SPR relates to several policing areas including Serious and Organised Crime which is a key activity within the Essex and Kent Serious Crime Directorate. The SPR was due for review in 2021. As and when the review is completed, the S22A Agreement will need to be reviewed and revised as appropriate.

# 8. Police operational implications

There are no direct operational policing implications associated with the draft S22A agreement, although the SCD policing arrangements, direction, control and performance management arrangements are covered within the documentation.

## 9. Financial implications

The draft S22A agreement covers funding and payment arrangements as well as savings, income and cost sharing arrangements.

This is an update of an existing agreement and therefore there will not be any financial changes a result of this decision.

# 10. Legal implications

Any collaborative agreement between forces needs to have an up to date, signed and dated S22A legal agreement.

Both the Essex and Kent Force Solicitors were involved in meetings to discuss the contents of the proposed S22A agreement for SCD and reviewed the document, advising that they agree with the final draft. The changes that were made are minor, concentrating on updating the document with new legislation where referenced and simplifying the document which will ultimately result in it remaining current for longer.

The draft S22A agreement was completed by external solicitors who specialise in these agreements. Employing external specialists provides reassurance and scrutiny in relation to the document submitted for the PFCC's consideration.

# 11. Staffing implications

The draft agreement covers staff, discipline, and health and safety considerations. As this is an update of an existing agreement, there is not likely to be any staffing implications as a result of approving the S22a agreement.

# 12. Equality, Diversity and Inclusion implications

There are no expectations that the signing of the S22a agreement between Essex and Kent will disadvantage any individual.

SCD follows both forces' HR policies which ensures compliance with employment, equality and disability discrimination laws. There are active Diversity and Inclusion Boards that meet regularly in both forces across the command.

Please see the attached equality impact assessment.



# 13. Risks and Mitigations

There are no risks associated with approving the draft S22A agreement.

There may be a risk associated with not approving or further delay in approving the draft agreement. If there is further delay in progressing the revised legal agreement between Kent and Essex for the provision of services received from the Serious Crime Directorate, the forces could be criticised if an external inspection takes place.

#### 14. Governance Boards

The draft SCD S22A agreement was discussed at JCOG on 4<sup>th</sup> October 2022, and it was agreed that the document needed to be reviewed and agreed by the Essex PFCC and Kent PCC.

The document was also tabled and agreed for progression with the PFCC / PCC and Chief Constables at the Kent and Essex Collaboration Oversight Meeting on 27<sup>th</sup> October 2022.

Finally, it was considered at the PFCC's Strategic Board on 15<sup>th</sup> December 2022.

#### 15. Links to Future Plans

The draft agreement supports the continued SCD collaboration.

# 16. Background Papers and Appendices

Background papers

Please see previous SCD S23 agreement from 2010 (for information).



**Appendices** 

Appendix 1 – Equality Impact Assessment (embedded in section 12 above)

Appendix 2 – JCOG report (4 October 2022) (incorporating the proposed Police Force and Elected Local Policing Body Section 22A Police Act 1996 Agreement) (attached)

# **Report Approval**

The report will be signed off by the PFCC's Chief Executive and Chief Finance Officer prior to review and sign off by the PFCC / DPFCC.

Chief Executive / M.O. Sign:

Print: P. Brent-Isherwood

Date: 14 December 2022

Chief Financial Officer Sign:

Print: Janet Perry

Date: 19 December 2022

# **Publication**

Is the report for publication?

YES

NO

If 'NO', please give reasons for non-publication (Where relevant, cite the security classification of the document(s). State 'None' if applicable)

None

If the report is not for publication, the Chief Executive will decide if and how the public can be informed of the decision.

# **Redaction**

If the report is for public	ation, is	s redaction	on required:		
1. Of Decision Sheet?	YES		2. Of Apper	ndix?	YES
	NO	X			NO X
If 'YES', please provide	details (	of require	ed redaction:		
. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	aotano (	N//			
Date redaction carried o	ut:				
OL: (F: O()					
Chief Finance Offic only	<u>er / Ch</u>	ief Exec	utive Sign O	<u>it – toi</u>	r Redactions
If redaction is required, thas been completed.	he Treas	surer or C	hief Executive is	s to sigr	off that redaction
Sign	:				
Print	:				
CI	nief Exe	cutive / C	Chief Finance O	fficer	
	_				
Decision and Final	Sign O	)ff			
I agree the recommenda	ations to	this repor	t:		
Sign	ı: (	Cogo 24.			
Prin	t: F	V ROGER HIF	RST		
	ļ	PFCC/ <del>Do</del>	puty PFCC		
Date	signed:	21st Dece	mber 2022		
I do not agree the recom	nmendat	ions to thi	s report because	e:	
	•••••				
Sign	n:				
Prir					
7111					
		PFCC/Da	nuty PECC		

Date signed: