

PFCC Decision Report

Please ensure all sections below are completed

Report reference number: 034/22
Classification: Not protectively marked
Title of report: Community Safety Partnership Funding 2022-23
Area of county/ stakeholders affected: Countywide
Report by: Greg Myddelton
Date of report: 18 th February 2022
Enquiries to: greg.myddelton@essex.police.uk

1. Purpose of the report

- 1.1. To approve the annual allocation of core grants from the PFCC's 2022-23 Community Safety Fund to the 14 Essex Community Safety Partnerships (CSPs) and the SETDA DHR fund.

2. Recommendations

- 2.1. Approve the allocation of £322,724 from the 2022-23 CSF to Essex CSPs and the SETDA fund to support local priorities and deliver against the priorities within the Police and Crime Plan.

3. Benefits of the proposal

- 3.1. This funding is an annual commitment from the PFCC to the CSPs across the county. The grants support CSPs to deliver against their statutory duties, undertake local activities, projects or initiatives in support of the work of the PFCC, Essex Police & ECFRS. This funding enables CSPs to engage with local communities and residents, and to commission activity to improve local community safety outcomes.
- 3.2. As part of this funding, the PFCC also makes an allocation to the centralised Southend, Essex and Thurrock Domestic Abuse (SETDA) Team to undertake Domestic Homicide Reviews (DHRs).

4. Background and proposal

- 4.1. The PFCC will provide the following grant funding allocations;

CSP area	£
Basildon	£25,849
Braintree	£17,739
Brentwood	£14,106
Castle Point	£15,190

Chelmsford	£19,191
Colchester	£22,511
Epping Forest	£19,268
Harlow	£24,362
Maldon	£12,527
Rochford	£12,337
Southend-on-Sea	£27,714
Tendring	£25,094
Thurrock	£24,976
Uttlesford	£11,693
CSP “top-slice” to SETDA DHR fund	£37,167
PFCC SETDA DHR fund contribution	£13,000
	£322,724

- 4.2. This funding is used by CSPs to support their core activities and statutory responsibilities including;
- establish a strategic group to direct the work of the community safety partnership
 - regularly engage and consult with the local community about their community safety priorities
 - set up protocols and systems for sharing information between partners
 - collect and analyse available intelligence and data, including recorded crime levels and patterns, in order to identify need and priorities in an annual strategic assessment
 - develop and publish a partnership plan
 - produce a local strategy to reduce reoffending
- 4.3. The PFCC monitors CSP use of the funding by;
- Reviewing a copy of the CSPs annual strategic assessment and/or partnership plan
 - Receives a report outlining how funding was utilised in the previous year, including evidence of its impact
 - Requesting and reviewing an outline of how PFCC grant funding will be used, and how that impacts on the priorities of the Police & Crime Plan
 - Attending individual meetings with each CSP manager and Chair to discuss performance, issues, and possible areas for development.
 - Attending partnership meetings such as Safer Essex and Essex Community Safety Network (ECSN)
- 4.4. The PFCC has maintained the funding to CSPs at 2021-22 levels but also makes grant funding available to CSPs and local groups via the Crime Prevention Fund and Safer Streets Programme. The DHR contribution to the SETDA partnership remains at £13k (reduced from £23k pre-2020)
- 5. Alternative options considered and rejected**
- 5.1. These are annual grants to CSPs which enable local partnerships to engage with their communities and deliver activities and projects for the benefit of local residents. CSPs also have the opportunity to bid for PFCC funding via the Crime

Prevention Fund or Safer Streets programme. If the PFCC chose not to make this investment it would free-up funding to be used elsewhere but would risk CSPs being under-resourced and unable to deliver against local priorities.

6. Police and Crime Plan

- 6.1. This funding will enable the PFCC and partner organisations to support the priorities within the Police and Crime Plan including increasing collaboration, protecting rural and isolated areas, and further investment in crime prevention.

7. Police operational implications

- 7.1. No direct operational implications

8. Financial implications

- 8.1. The PFCC will provide annual grants equalling £322,724 to the CSPs and SETDA partnership as listed in section 4.1 above. These grants will be subject to our standard funding agreement conditions. Payments will be made from the PFCC's 2022-23 Community Safety Fund.

9. Legal implications

- 9.1. This funding is subject to the terms of the PFCC's standard grant agreement.

10. Staffing implications

- 10.1. The use of this funding to employ staff or sessional workers will be the responsibility of the host organisation. No liabilities, immediate or ongoing, will be placed on the PFCC as a result of this funding.

11. Equality and Diversity implications

- 11.1. The PFCC applies conditions on this funding which include;
- The Recipient shall not unlawfully discriminate within the meaning and scope of any law, enactment, order, or regulation relating to discrimination (whether in race, gender, religion, disability, sexual orientation, age or otherwise) in employment or otherwise.
 - The Recipient shall take all reasonable steps to secure the observance of condition set out above by all servants, employees or agents of the Recipient and all suppliers and sub-contractors engaged on the Project.
- 11.2. The PFCC will use monitoring information, including attending partnership meetings, to track whether any groups are being, or could be, disproportionately or negatively impacted by any actions resulting from PFCC funding.

12. Risks

- 12.1. There is a risk that PFCC funding is not effectively used to support the delivery of the Police and Crime Plan. It is expected that our proposed monitoring arrangements will be appropriate mitigation to prevent this risk occurring.

13. Governance Boards

- 13.1. CSP activity is discussed at a range of forums including Safer Essex and Essex Community Safety Network. The Commissioner receives an annual summary report on the use of CSP funding.

Report Approval

The report will be signed off by the OPFCC Chief Executive and Treasurer prior to review and sign off by the PFCC / DPFCC.

Chief Executive / M.O. Sign: D. Horsman
Print: Darren Horsman - Deputy MO
Date: 22.02.2022

Chief Finance Officer / Treasurer Sign: Julia Berry
Print: Julia Berry
Date: 22 February 2022

Publication

Is the report for publication? YES
NO

If 'NO', please give reasons for non-publication (Where relevant, cite the security classification of the document(s). State 'None' if applicable)
.....
.....
.....

If the report is not for publication, the Chief Executive will decide if and how the public can be informed of the decision.

Redaction

If the report is for publication, is redaction required:

1. Of Decision Sheet? YES NO
2. Of Appendix? YES NO

If 'YES', please provide details of required redaction:
.....
.....
.....

Date redaction carried out:

Treasurer / Chief Executive Sign Off – for Redactions only


If redaction is required, the Treasurer or Chief Executive is to sign off that redaction has been completed.

Sign:

Print:

Decision and Final Sign Off

I agree the recommendations to this report:

Sign: 

Print: Roger Hirst

PFCC

Date signed: 1 March 2022

I do not agree the recommendations to this report because:

.....
.....
.....

Sign:

Print:

PFCC/Deputy PFCC

Date signed: