22 March 2021

## Strategic Risk Register Report



	1		1					1	Inherent Risk						1	Target		 
Risk Ref	Link to	Department	Date	Description	Cause	Consequence	Risk Sponsor	Likelihood	Impact	Total	Existing Controls	Control Owner	Likelihood	Impact	Total	Exposure		
INISK INCI	PCP	Department	Identifi	Description	Cause	Consequence	Kisk Spoilsoi	Likeiiiioou	impact	Total	Existing Controls	Control Owner	Likeiiiioou	iiipact	lotai	Lxposure		
SRR150001	Legal Compliance	Strategic Risk Register	02/02/2015	There is a risk that through poor financial planning or ineffective resource planning, the Service does not effectively deliver or improve its core functions leading to it not providing value for money, not complying with financial Regulations, and/or failure to deliver a balanced budget.	government/local funding □ Impact on Service's budget cycle process from staff shortages, absentees, persons rediverted to other roles and/or excessive workloads due to COVID 19 □ COVERNENT OVERSENT OVERSENT OF THE SERVICE'S reaction to COVID 19 □ Unexpected reduction	reserves  Failure to deliver change programmes which influence the medium-term financial plan  There could be levels of procurement that do not attract the best value for money due to the impact on suppliers from COVID-19  Temporary reductions in non-core spend and investment H & S or data breach prosecution Short term borrowing to fund expenditure Service Delivery reductions Loss of revenue through business rates, Council Tax and/or S31 grants. Potential need to draw on Reserves Impact on Medium Term Financial Planning  Impact on service plans to balance budget each financial year	Neil Cross	Almost Certain	Major		1. Regular scrutiny of financial management 2: Proper control of capital and revenue spend. 3. Strategic planning for future Fire & Rescue Plan, IRMP and post 2020 strategic planning de Budgetary control and financial planning internal audit 5. Insurance arrangements & associated internal processes 6. Engagement with National bodies 7. Increased scrutiny for the Medium Term Financial Plan 8. Monitor COVID-19 spend 9. Revised Procurment Practices during 2020 10. Procurment Pactices during 2020 11. Procurment Audit Recomendations	8. Neil Cross 9. Sheldon Dyer	Possible	Significant	9	6		
SRR150003	Collaborate with our partners	Strategic Risk Register	02/02/2015	There is a risk that through ineffective engagement and involvement with our partners the Service does not fulfil its duty (e.g. under the Policing and Crime Act 2017), realise efficiencies identified within the PFCC's Local Business case, and provide a more efficient and effective service for the public.	Engagement/attendance at partners meeting poor poor poor the leadership across the Service Ser	Ability to deliver services with decline in performance and/or effects high turnover of staff	Rick Hylton	Likely	Major		in place with effective meeting structure and appropriate escalation of issues as required. 2. Monthly and	1. Jo Turton 2. Lucy Clayton 2. Lucy Clayton 3. Neil Fenwick 4. Neil Fenwick 5. Dave Bill 6. Moira Bruin 7. Dave Bill 8. lan Adams	Rare	Significant	3	3		

SRR150005	Develop	Strategic Risk	02/02/2015	There is a risk that the Service does		Higher costs of interim	Karl Edwards	Possible	Major	12	Effective	<ol> <li>Jenny Smith</li> </ol>	Possible	Significant	9	4		
	and	Register		not develop and manage it's people		and temporary staff□					arrangements in place	2. Colette Black						
	broaden the			effectively therefore not delivering a	Authority as an	Increased onboarding					for Staff Engagement	3. Natalie						
	roles and			range of activities which save lives,	employer of choice□	times for new staff □					in line with the People	Quickenden						
	range of			prevent harm and protect our	Poor or absent	Specialist roles vacant					Strategy	<ol> <li>Nikki Hudson</li> </ol>						
	activities			communities due to failure to deliver	succession/career	for long(er) periods□					People strategy	5. Paul						
	undertaken			against the Service's People	planning and individual	Higher levels of sickness					delivered within budget	Chipperfield						
	by the			Strategy and have the right people,	development built in to						and reviewed on a	6. Jenny Smith						
	Service			in the right place, with the right	business planning□	Lack of skilled and					monthly basis through	Z. Karl Edwards						
				skills, at the right time.	Poor or absent	experienced key					the SLT							
				,g	succession planning	employees to deliver the					3. Efficient workforce							
					policies and	Service strategy□					planning & recruitment							
					procedures	Lack of flexibility in					procedures							
					Representative bodies	service delivery□					Effective Inclusion							
					in dispute with the	Detrimental effect on					& Diversity Plan							
					Authority =	service delivery□					5. Alternative							
					Poor or absent market	People leave and so we					methods to deliver							
					horizon scanning	lose corporate					Training and							
					coupled with vacancy	knowledge, skills and					development via ICT to							
1		1				experience which has a					be investigated							
		1			gaps.□	detrimental effect on					6. Impact assessment							
1		1			Safeguarding failure	service delivery□					to be carried out on							
					affecting employees□	We do not have					delivery of the cultural							
					Lack of Safer	diversity of thought and					change strand of the							
					Recruitment processes						People Strategy							
						thought out decisions.					7. Provide adequate							
					We are unable to	People leave and so we					recording system to							
					develop a culture where						ensure compliance with							
					employees feel valued	knowledge, skills and					the working time							
					and engaged with the	experience which has a					directive							
					Service□	detrimental effect on												
					We do not reflect the	service delivery.												
					diversity of the	Employees raise legal												
					communities we serve	claims regarding												
					and or/ do not treat all	breaches of												
					employees with	equality/employment												
					equality, dignity and	law.□												
					respect in a supportive	We do not have people												
					and inclusive working	trained to lead, manage												
000450000	D	Otrosto et a Biolo	04/44/0040	The second secon			K-15 basels	1.00	14.1	40	4 1	Matthew Stalker	D		40	4		
SRR150008		Strategic Risk	01/11/2016	There is a risk that the Service is	Failure to successfully	This may result in the	Karl Edwards	Likely	Major	16	Implementation of		Possible	Major	12	4		
	Protection	Register		unable to effectively mobilise or	implement National	Service failing to meet					the Communication	2. Peter Suarez						
	&			communicate with our response	Airwave replacement	its statutory					Systems, Mobilising	3. Paul Gardiner						
	Response			resources and partner agencies.	Programme□	requirements as set out					and Operational	4. Karl Edwards						
				L	Sufficient Airwave	within the FRSA 2004,					Solutions Programme							
				Cross Reference with:□	hardware unavailable	CCA 2004, H&SAW					2. ECFRS Control							
				Control Risk SDCON0005 and	Government failure of	1974. □					Business Continuity							
				SDCON0006	ESMCP□	Loss of Critical					Plan							
					Service MDT's not fit	Communications					3. Upgrade of 4i &							
1	1	I	1	1	for purpose □	Infrastructure□					creation of the ICT							J
1	1	I	1	1		Reduction in public &					infrastructure to allow							J
1		1			(CAD) failure□	staff safety□					updates.							ļ
		1			Collaboration with other						<ol> <li>Procurment of new</li> </ol>							
1		1			partners/agencies	Reputational damage□					mob ands iccs system							J
		1			hindering effective	Additional Financial												
1		1			implementation of	Burden□												J
		1			hardware solutions□	Central and Local												
	1	I	1	1	H41/H45□	Government Scrutiny□												
		1			Supporting/replacemen													
1		1			Programmes and	provide safe systems of												
1		1			projects are unable to	work□												
1		1			continue or significantly													ļ
1		1			delayed□	effectively□												ļ
1		1			System not maintained	Delay in responding to												ļ
		1			effectively□	an operational incident												
1	1	1			Corporate gazetteer not													

SRR150009	Logol	Strategic Risk	15/05/2017	There is a risk of Data breach due to	No or inadequate	Breach of legislation □	Rick Hylton	Almost	Critical	25 1. Purpose limitations -	1 Tracy King	Possible	Significant	0	6	- 1		
3KK 130009	Compliance		13/03/2017	failure/lack of Data Protection Act	Information	Reputational damage,	NICK HYILOH	Certain	Cittical		2. Tracy King	FUSSIDIE	Signinicant	9	0			
	Compliance	Register		(2018) compliance leading to	Governance	which may hinder		Certain			3. Tracy King							
				personal loss, reputational damage		community engagement					4. Hope Osayande							
				or a loss of public confidence and	Data Protection Policy	and bring unwanted attention on the					5. Hope Osayande							
				legal action.	and Information						6. Hope Osayande							
					Security Policy)□	service□					7. Tracy King							
					Poor management of	Compensation claims				fairness & transparency	8. Hope Osayande							
					Asset Register and	from individuals□				- Personal data shall be	9. Tracy King							
					Data Flow Mapping.□	Service delivery may be				processed lawfully,								
					Ineffective training	impacted due to loss of				fairly and in a								
					programme for staff	data (and thus				transparent manner								
					awareness□	knowledge)□				3. Accountability and								
					Third party contracts do	Impact on individuals				Governance -								
					not contain the relevant	such as potential for				recognition that we are								
					clauses□	identity theft, fraud and				responsible for								
					Poor	disadvantage□				complying with GDPR								
					governance/consultatio	Heavy fines from				& able to demonstrate								
					n on the contract	Information				our compliance								
					process	Commissioner□				4. Training and								
					No or inadequate Data	Inappropriate or illegal				awareness programme								
					Privacy Impact	sharing of data				established for the								
					Assessments (E.g.,	Sharing or data				Data Protection Act								
1	1		1		inadequate Privacy					5. Data Protection Policy	1						1	
Ì			1		Impact Assessments at													J
Ì			1		contract stages)□					6. Data Minimisation -								J
1	1		1		Privacy Notices not					Personal data shall be	1						1	J
1	1		1		updated to reflect new					adequate, relevant and	1						1	
Ì			1		processing□					limited to what is								
Ì			1		Inadequate Consent					necessary in relation to								
1			1		processes□					our purpose(s)								J
					Poor Data Security□					7. Integrity and								
					Human Error □					Confidentiality - Data is								
					Non compliance with					processed in a manner								
					the Data Breach					that ensures								
					process =					appropriate security of								
					No Data Sharing and					the personal data								
					Processing					8. Storage limitations -								
					Agreements					Personal data is kept								
SRR150010		Strategic Risk	09/07/2018	There is a risk that the Service fails		Inadequate operational	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with	Danny Bruin	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Prevention, Protection	Strategic Risk Register	09/07/2018	There is a risk that the Service fails to, or is unable to, implement	Inadequate internal and external debriefs	Inadequate operational response□	Dave Bill	Likely	Major		Danny Bruin     Danny Bruin	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010		Strategic Risk Register					Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with		Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement	external debriefs following an incident	response□	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	1. Engagement with the Joint	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010		Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS□	response□ Death or serious injury to staff or public□	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS□ No learning/change in	response□ Death or serious injury	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative     2. Engagement with	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin 5. Danny Bruin	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS□ No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners'	response□ Death or serious injury to staff or public□ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation□	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational	2: Danny Bruin 3: Danny Bruin 4: Danny Bruin 5: Danny Bruin 6: Danny Bruin	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to law/regulations in an effective and	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public	response□ Death or serious injury to staff or public□ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation□ Loss of reputation and/or	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL)	2: Danny Bruin 3: Danny Bruin 4: Danny Bruin 5: Danny Bruin 6: Danny Bruin 7: Danny Bruin	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS□ No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public inquiries from other	response□ Death or serious injury to staff or public□ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation□ Loss of reputation and/or confidence in the	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL) initiative	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin 5. Danny Bruin 6. Danny Bruin 7. Danny Bruin 8. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to law/regulations in an effective and	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS□ No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public inquiries from other incidents which may	response□ Death or serious injury to staff or public□ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation□ Loss of reputation and/or confidence in the Authority by partners,	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL) initiative 3. Service Debrief	2: Danny Bruin 3: Danny Bruin 4: Danny Bruin 5: Danny Bruin 6: Danny Bruin 7: Danny Bruin	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to law/regulations in an effective and	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public inquiries from other incidents which may have a significant	response □ Death or serious injury to staff or public □ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation □ Loss of reputation and/or confidence in the Authority by partners, stakeholders and the	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL) initiative 3. Service Debrief Process and IRS	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin 5. Danny Bruin 6. Danny Bruin 7. Danny Bruin 8. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to law/regulations in an effective and	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public inquiries from other incidents which may have a significant impact on our	response□ Death or serious injury to staff or public□ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation□ Loss of reputation and/or confidence in the Authority by partners,	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL) initiative 3. Service Debrief Process and IRS Feedback	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin 5. Danny Bruin 6. Danny Bruin 7. Danny Bruin 8. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to law/regulations in an effective and	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS□ No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public inquiries from other incidents which may have a significant impact on our operations (e.g.	response □ Death or serious injury to staff or public □ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation □ Loss of reputation and/or confidence in the Authority by partners, stakeholders and the	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL) initiative 3. Service Debrief Process and IRS Feedback 4. Operational	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin 5. Danny Bruin 6. Danny Bruin 7. Danny Bruin 8. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to law/regulations in an effective and	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public inquiries from other incidents which may have a significant impact on our operations (e.g. Grenfell and	response □ Death or serious injury to staff or public □ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation □ Loss of reputation and/or confidence in the Authority by partners, stakeholders and the	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL) initiative 3. Service Debrief Process and IRS Feedback 4. Operational Support Officers	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin 5. Danny Bruin 6. Danny Bruin 7. Danny Bruin 8. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to law/regulations in an effective and	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public inquiries from other incidents which may have a significant impact on our operations (e.g. Grenfell and Manchester)	response □ Death or serious injury to staff or public □ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation □ Loss of reputation and/or confidence in the Authority by partners, stakeholders and the	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL) initiative 3. Service Debrief Process and IRS Feedback 4. Operational Support Officers attending incidents	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin 5. Danny Bruin 6. Danny Bruin 7. Danny Bruin 8. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to law/regulations in an effective and	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS□ No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public inquiries from other incidents which may have a significant impact on our operations (e.g. Grenfell and Manchester)□ No engagement with	response □ Death or serious injury to staff or public □ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation □ Loss of reputation and/or confidence in the Authority by partners, stakeholders and the	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL) initiative 3. Service Debrief Process and IRS Feedback 4. Operational Support Officers attending incidents 5. Implementation of	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin 5. Danny Bruin 6. Danny Bruin 7. Danny Bruin 8. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to law/regulations in an effective and	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public inquiries from other incidents which may have a significant impact on our operations (e.g. Grenfell and Manchester)	response □ Death or serious injury to staff or public □ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation □ Loss of reputation and/or confidence in the Authority by partners, stakeholders and the	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL) initiative 3. Service Debrief Process and IRS Feedback 4. Operational Support Officers attending incidents	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin 5. Danny Bruin 6. Danny Bruin 7. Danny Bruin 8. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to law/regulations in an effective and	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS□ No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public inquiries from other incidents which may have a significant impact on our operations (e.g. Grenfell and Manchester)□ No engagement with	response □ Death or serious injury to staff or public □ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation □ Loss of reputation and/or confidence in the Authority by partners, stakeholders and the	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL) initiative 3. Service Debrief Process and IRS Feedback 4. Operational Support Officers attending incidents 5. Implementation of Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin 5. Danny Bruin 6. Danny Bruin 7. Danny Bruin 8. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to law/regulations in an effective and	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS□ No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public inquiries from other incidents which may have a significant impact on our operations (e.g. Grenfell and Manchester)□ No engagement with the NOLJOL	response □ Death or serious injury to staff or public □ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation □ Loss of reputation and/or confidence in the Authority by partners, stakeholders and the	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL) initiative 3. Service Debrief Process and IRS Feedback 4. Operational Support Officers attending incidents 5. Implementation of Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 6. Monitoring	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin 5. Danny Bruin 6. Danny Bruin 7. Danny Bruin 8. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to law/regulations in an effective and	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS□ No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public inquiries from other incidents which may have a significant impact on our operations (e.g. Grenfell and Manchester)□ No engagement with the NOL/JOL processes□	response □ Death or serious injury to staff or public □ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation □ Loss of reputation and/or confidence in the Authority by partners, stakeholders and the	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL) initiative 3. Service Debrief Process and IRS Feedback 4. Operational Support Officers attending incidents 5. Implementation of Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin 5. Danny Bruin 6. Danny Bruin 7. Danny Bruin 8. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to law/regulations in an effective and	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS□ No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public inquiries from other incidents which may have a significant impact on our operations (e.g. Grenfell and Manchester)□ No engagement with the NOL/JOL processes□ No mechanism for	response ☐ Death or serious injury to staff or public ☐ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation ☐ Loss of reputation and/or confidence in the Authority by partners, stakeholders and the public	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL) initiative 3. Service Debrief Process and IRS Feedback 4. Operational Support Officers attending incidents 5. Implementation of Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 6. Monitoring	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin 5. Danny Bruin 6. Danny Bruin 7. Danny Bruin 8. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to law/regulations in an effective and	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public inquiries from other incidents which may have a significant impact on our operations (e.g. Grenfell and Manchester) No engagement with the NOLJOL processes No mechanism for internal recording	response ☐ Death or serious injury to staff or public ☐ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation ☐ Loss of reputation and/or confidence in the Authority by partners, stakeholders and the public	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL) initiative 3. Service Debrief Process and IRS Feedback 4. Operational Support Officers attending incidents 5. Implementation of Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 6. Monitoring Regulation 28 Notices	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin 5. Danny Bruin 6. Danny Bruin 7. Danny Bruin 8. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to law/regulations in an effective and	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS□ No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public inquiries from other incidents which may have a significant impact on our operations (e.g. Grenfell and Manchester)□ No engagement with the NOL/JOL processes□ No mechanism for internal recording□ Lack of action to review policies and	response ☐ Death or serious injury to staff or public ☐ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation ☐ Loss of reputation and/or confidence in the Authority by partners, stakeholders and the public	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL) initiative 3. Service Debrief Process and IRS Feedback 4. Operational Support Officers attending incidents 5. Implementation of Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 6. Monitoring Regulation 28 Notices Z. Monitor incident and safety event trends	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin 5. Danny Bruin 6. Danny Bruin 7. Danny Bruin 8. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to law/regulations in an effective and	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public inquiries from other incidents which may have a significant impact on our operations (e.g. Grenfell and Manchester) No engagement with the NOLJJOL processes No mechanism for internal recording Lack of action to review policies and procedures.	response ☐ Death or serious injury to staff or public ☐ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation ☐ Loss of reputation and/or confidence in the Authority by partners, stakeholders and the public	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL) initiative 3. Service Debrief Process and IRS Feedback 4. Operational Support Officers attending incidents 5. Implementation of Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 6. Monitoring Regulation 28 Notices 7. Monitor incident and safety event trends locally/nationally and	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin 5. Danny Bruin 6. Danny Bruin 7. Danny Bruin 8. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to law/regulations in an effective and	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS□ No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public inquiries from other incidents which may have a significant impact on our operations (e.g. Grenfell and Manchester)□ No engagement with the NOL/JOL processes□ No mechanism for internal recording□ Lack of action to review policies and procedures.□ Not compliant with	response ☐ Death or serious injury to staff or public ☐ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation ☐ Loss of reputation and/or confidence in the Authority by partners, stakeholders and the public	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL) initiative 3. Service Debrief Process and IRS Feedback 4. Operational Support Officers attending incidents 5. Implementation of Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 6. Monitoring Negulation 28 Notices 7. Monitor incident and safety event trends locally/nationally and adopt shared learning	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin 5. Danny Bruin 6. Danny Bruin 7. Danny Bruin 8. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to law/regulations in an effective and	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public inquiries from other incidents which may have a significant impact on our operations (e.g. Grenfell and Manchester) No engagement with the NOL/JOL processes No mechanism for internal recording Lack of action to review policies and procedures. Not compliant with (new) legislation and	response ☐ Death or serious injury to staff or public ☐ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation ☐ Loss of reputation and/or confidence in the Authority by partners, stakeholders and the public	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL) initiative 3. Service Debrief Process and IRS Feedback 4. Operational Support Officers attending incidents 5. Implementation of Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 6. Monitoring Regulation 28 Notices 7. Monitor incident and safety event trends locally/nationally and adopt shared learning 8. Adopt new ways of	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin 5. Danny Bruin 6. Danny Bruin 7. Danny Bruin 8. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to law/regulations in an effective and	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public inquiries from other incidents which may have a significant impact on our operations (e.g. Grenfell and Manchester) No engagement with the NOL/JOL processes No mechanism for internal recording Lack of action to review policies and procedures. Not compliant with (new) legislation and best/good practice	response ☐ Death or serious injury to staff or public ☐ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation ☐ Loss of reputation and/or confidence in the Authority by partners, stakeholders and the public	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL) initiative 3. Service Debrief Process and IRS Feedback 4. Operational Support Officers attending incidents 5. Implementation of Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 6. Monitoring Regulation 28 Notices 7. Monitor incident and safety event trends locally/nationally and adopt shared learning 8. Adopt new ways of working	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin 5. Danny Bruin 6. Danny Bruin 7. Danny Bruin 8. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to law/regulations in an effective and	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public inquiries from other incidents which may have a significant impact on our operations (e.g. Grenfell and Manchester) No engagement with the NOL/JOL processes No mechanism for internal recording Lack of action to review policies and procedures. Not compliant with (new) legislation and	response ☐ Death or serious injury to staff or public ☐ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation ☐ Loss of reputation and/or confidence in the Authority by partners, stakeholders and the public	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL) initiative 3. Service Debrief Process and IRS Feedback 4. Operational Support Officers attending incidents 5. Implementation of Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 6. Monitoring Process 1. Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 5. Implementation 28 Notices 7. Monitor incident and safety event trends locally/nationally and adopt shared learning 8. Adopt new ways of working 9. Assess and	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin 5. Danny Bruin 6. Danny Bruin 7. Danny Bruin 8. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to law/regulations in an effective and	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public inquiries from other incidents which may have a significant impact on our operations (e.g. Grenfell and Manchester) No engagement with the NOL/JOL processes No mechanism for internal recording Lack of action to review policies and procedures. Not compliant with (new) legislation and best/good practice Poor communication of new	response ☐ Death or serious injury to staff or public ☐ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation ☐ Loss of reputation and/or confidence in the Authority by partners, stakeholders and the public	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL) initiative 3. Service Debrief Process and IRS Feedback 4. Operational Support Officers attending incidents 5. Implementation of Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 6. Monitoring Regulation 28 Notices 7. Monitor incident and safety event trends locally/nationally and adopt shared learning 8. Adopt new ways of working	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin 5. Danny Bruin 6. Danny Bruin 7. Danny Bruin 8. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to law/regulations in an effective and	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public inquiries from other incidents which may have a significant impact on our operations (e.g. Grenfell and Manchester) No engagement with the NOLJOD. processes No mechanism for internal recording Lack of action to review policies and procedures. Not compliant with (new) legislation and best/good practice Poor communication of new processes/learning	response ☐ Death or serious injury to staff or public ☐ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation ☐ Loss of reputation and/or confidence in the Authority by partners, stakeholders and the public	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL) initiative 3. Service Debrief Process and IRS Feedback 4. Operational Support Officers attending incidents 5. Implementation of Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 6. Monitoring Process 1. Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 5. Implementation 28 Notices 7. Monitor incident and safety event trends locally/nationally and adopt shared learning 8. Adopt new ways of working 9. Assess and	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin 5. Danny Bruin 6. Danny Bruin 7. Danny Bruin 8. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to law/regulations in an effective and	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS□ No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public inquiries from other incidents which may have a significant impact on our operations (e.g. Grenfell and Manchester)□ No engagement with the NOL/JOL processes□ No mechanism for internal recording□ Lack of action to review policies and procedures.□ Not compliant with (new) legislation and best/good practice□ Poor communication of new processes/learning□ Faillure to implement	response ☐ Death or serious injury to staff or public ☐ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation☐ Loss of reputation and/or confidence in the Authority by partners, stakeholders and the public	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL) initiative 3. Service Debrief Process and IRS Feedback 4. Operational Support Officers attending incidents 5. Implementation of Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 6. Monitoring Process 1. Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 5. Implementation 28 Notices 7. Monitor incident and safety event trends locally/nationally and adopt shared learning 8. Adopt new ways of working 9. Assess and	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin 5. Danny Bruin 6. Danny Bruin 7. Danny Bruin 8. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to law/regulations in an effective and	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public inquiries from other incidents which may have a significant impact on our operations (e.g. Grenfell and Manchester) No engagement with the NOLJ/JOL Processes No mechanism for internal recording Lack of action to review policies and procedures. Not compliant with (new) legislation and best/good practice. Poor communication of new processes/learning Failure to implement the Service's Monitoring.	response ☐ Death or serious injury to staff or public ☐ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation☐ Loss of reputation and/or confidence in the Authority by partners, stakeholders and the public	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL) initiative 3. Service Debrief Process and IRS Feedback 4. Operational Support Officers attending incidents 5. Implementation of Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 6. Monitoring Process 1. Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 5. Implementation 28 Notices 7. Monitor incident and safety event trends locally/nationally and adopt shared learning 8. Adopt new ways of working 9. Assess and	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin 5. Danny Bruin 6. Danny Bruin 7. Danny Bruin 8. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to law/regulations in an effective and	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public inquiries from other incidents which may have a significant impact on our operations (e.g. Grenfell and Manchester) No engagement with the NOL/JOL Drocesses No mechanism for internal recording Lack of action to review policies and procedures. Poor communication of new processes/learning Poor communication of new processes/learning Failure to implement the Service's Monitoring Officer process	response ☐ Death or serious injury to staff or public ☐ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation☐ Loss of reputation and/or confidence in the Authority by partners, stakeholders and the public	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL) initiative 3. Service Debrief Process and IRS Feedback 4. Operational Support Officers attending incidents 5. Implementation of Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 6. Monitoring Process 1. Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 5. Implementation 28 Notices 7. Monitor incident and safety event trends locally/nationally and adopt shared learning 8. Adopt new ways of working 9. Assess and	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin 5. Danny Bruin 6. Danny Bruin 7. Danny Bruin 8. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to law/regulations in an effective and	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS□ No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public inquiries from other incidents which may have a significant impact on our operations (e.g. Grenfell and Manchester)□ No engagement with the NOL/JOL processes□ No mechanism for internal recording□ Lack of action to review policies and procedures.□ Not compliant with (new) legislation and best/good practice□ Poor communication of new processes/learning□ Failure to implement the Service's Monitoring Officer process□ Lack of engagement	response ☐ Death or serious injury to staff or public ☐ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation☐ Loss of reputation and/or confidence in the Authority by partners, stakeholders and the public	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL) initiative 3. Service Debrief Process and IRS Feedback 4. Operational Support Officers attending incidents 5. Implementation of Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 6. Monitoring Process 1. Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 5. Implementation 28 Notices 7. Monitor incident and safety event trends locally/nationally and adopt shared learning 8. Adopt new ways of working 9. Assess and	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin 5. Danny Bruin 6. Danny Bruin 7. Danny Bruin 8. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to law/regulations in an effective and	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS□ No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public inquiries from other incidents which may have a significant impact on our operations (e.g. Grenfell and Manchester)□ No engagement with the NOLJOLD processes□ No mechanism for internal recording□ Lack of action to review policies and procedures□ Not compliant with (new) legislation and best/good practice□ Poor communication of new processes□ learning□ Failure to implement the Service's Monitoring Officer process□ Lack of engagement with NFCC	response ☐ Death or serious injury to staff or public ☐ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation☐ Loss of reputation and/or confidence in the Authority by partners, stakeholders and the public	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL) initiative 3. Service Debrief Process and IRS Feedback 4. Operational Support Officers attending incidents 5. Implementation of Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 6. Monitoring Process 1. Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 5. Implementation 28 Notices 7. Monitor incident and safety event trends locally/nationally and adopt shared learning 8. Adopt new ways of working 9. Assess and	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin 5. Danny Bruin 6. Danny Bruin 7. Danny Bruin 8. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to law/regulations in an effective and	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS□ No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public inquiries from other incidents which may have a significant impact on our operations (e.g. Grenfell and Manchester)□ No engagement with the NOL/JOL processes□ No mechanism for internal recording□ Lack of action to review policies and procedures.□ Not compliant with (new) legislation and best/good practice□ Poor communication of new policies's Monitoring Officer process= Lack of actions of the Service's Monitoring Officer process□ Lack of engagement with NFCC committees•COVID19	response ☐ Death or serious injury to staff or public ☐ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation☐ Loss of reputation and/or confidence in the Authority by partners, stakeholders and the public	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL) initiative 3. Service Debrief Process and IRS Feedback 4. Operational Support Officers attending incidents 5. Implementation of Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 6. Monitoring Process 1. Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 5. Implementation 28 Notices 7. Monitor incident and safety event trends locally/nationally and adopt shared learning 8. Adopt new ways of working 9. Assess and	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin 5. Danny Bruin 6. Danny Bruin 7. Danny Bruin 8. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to law/regulations in an effective and	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS□ No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public inquiries from other incidents which may have a significant impact on our operations (e.g. Grenfell and Manchester)□ No engagement with the NOL/JOL processes□ No mechanism for internal recording□ Lack of action to review policies and procedures.□ Not compliant with (new) legislation and best/good practice□ Poor communication of new processes/learning□ Failure to implement the Service's Monitoring Officer process□ Lack of engagement with NFCC committees•COVID19 diverts attention away	response ☐ Death or serious injury to staff or public ☐ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation☐ Loss of reputation and/or confidence in the Authority by partners, stakeholders and the public	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL) initiative 3. Service Debrief Process and IRS Feedback 4. Operational Support Officers attending incidents 5. Implementation of Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 6. Monitoring Process 1. Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 5. Implementation 28 Notices 7. Monitor incident and safety event trends locally/nationally and adopt shared learning 8. Adopt new ways of working 9. Assess and	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin 5. Danny Bruin 6. Danny Bruin 7. Danny Bruin 8. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to law/regulations in an effective and	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public inquiries from other incidents which may have a significant impact on our operations (e.g. Grenfell and Manchester) No engagement with the NOLJOD. Processes No mechanism for internal recording Lack of action to review policies and procedures. Not compliant with (new) legislation and best/good practice Poor communication of new processes/learning Failure to implement the Service's Monitoring Officer process Lack of engagement with NFCC committees-COVID19 diverts attention away from normal ways of	response ☐ Death or serious injury to staff or public ☐ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation☐ Loss of reputation and/or confidence in the Authority by partners, stakeholders and the public	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL) initiative 3. Service Debrief Process and IRS Feedback 4. Operational Support Officers attending incidents 5. Implementation of Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 6. Monitoring Process 1. Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 5. Implementation 28 Notices 7. Monitor incident and safety event trends locally/nationally and adopt shared learning 8. Adopt new ways of working 9. Assess and	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin 5. Danny Bruin 6. Danny Bruin 7. Danny Bruin 8. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to law/regulations in an effective and	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS□ No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public inquiries from other incidents which may have a significant impact on our operations (e.g. Grenfell and Manchester)□ No engagement with the NOL/JOL processes□ No mechanism for internal recording□ Lack of action to review policies and procedures.□ Not compliant with (new) legislation and best/good practice□ Poor communication of new processes/learning□ Failure to implement the Service's Monitoring Officer process□ Lack of engagement with NFCC committees•COVID19 diverts attention away	response ☐ Death or serious injury to staff or public ☐ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation☐ Loss of reputation and/or confidence in the Authority by partners, stakeholders and the public	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL) initiative 3. Service Debrief Process and IRS Feedback 4. Operational Support Officers attending incidents 5. Implementation of Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 6. Monitoring Process 1. Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 5. Implementation 28 Notices 7. Monitor incident and safety event trends locally/nationally and adopt shared learning 8. Adopt new ways of working 9. Assess and	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin 5. Danny Bruin 6. Danny Bruin 7. Danny Bruin 8. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to law/regulations in an effective and	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public inquiries from other incidents which may have a significant impact on our operations (e.g. Grenfell and Manchester) No engagement with the NOLJOD. Processes No mechanism for internal recording Lack of action to review policies and procedures. Not compliant with (new) legislation and best/good practice Poor communication of new processes/learning Failure to implement the Service's Monitoring Officer process Lack of engagement with NFCC committees-COVID19 diverts attention away from normal ways of	response ☐ Death or serious injury to staff or public ☐ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation☐ Loss of reputation and/or confidence in the Authority by partners, stakeholders and the public	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL) initiative 3. Service Debrief Process and IRS Feedback 4. Operational Support Officers attending incidents 5. Implementation of Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 6. Monitoring Process 1. Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 5. Implementation 28 Notices 7. Monitor incident and safety event trends locally/nationally and adopt shared learning 8. Adopt new ways of working 9. Assess and	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin 5. Danny Bruin 6. Danny Bruin 7. Danny Bruin 8. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150010	Protection &	Strategic Risk Register		to, or is unable to, implement appropriately the learning from local/National incidents, audit reports, case studies, changes/interpretation to law/regulations in an effective and	external debriefs following an incident involving ECFRS□ No learning/change in doctrine from Coroners' Reports and public inquiries from other incidents which may have a significant impact on our operations (e.g. Grenfell and Manchester)□ No engagement with the NOL/JOL processes□ No mechanism for internal recording□ Lack of action to review policies and procedures.□ Not compliant with (new) legislation and best/good practice□ Poor communication of new policies and processes/learning□ Failure to implement the Service's Monitoring Officer process□ Lack of engagement with NFCC committees COVID19 diverts attention away from normal ways of working□	response ☐ Death or serious injury to staff or public ☐ Breach of statutory duty/ Regulation☐ Loss of reputation and/or confidence in the Authority by partners, stakeholders and the public	Dave Bill	Likely	Major	16 1. Engagement with the Joint Organisational Learn (JOL) initiative 2. Engagement with National Operational Learning (NOL) initiative 3. Service Debrief Process and IRS Feedback 4. Operational Support Officers attending incidents 5. Implementation of Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 6. Monitoring Process 1. Monitoring Officer attendance at incidents 5. Implementation 28 Notices 7. Monitor incident and safety event trends locally/nationally and adopt shared learning 8. Adopt new ways of working 9. Assess and	2. Danny Bruin 3. Danny Bruin 4. Danny Bruin 5. Danny Bruin 6. Danny Bruin 7. Danny Bruin 8. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			

SRR150011	Prevention,	Strategic Risk	09/07/2018	There is a change in local and/or	Change in PFCC□	Possibly damaging	Jo Turton	Almost	Major	20 1. Continued	1. Glenn McGuiness	Possible	Minor	6	4		
	Protection	Register		National leadership, priorities or	Central Government	change in any financial		Certain	,	monitoring of Local	2. Jo Turton						
	&	-		policy that impacts on the Service's	preparations for next	settlement(s)□				Authority Financial	3. Dave Bill						
	Response			change programme effecting	General Election □	Change of FRS direction				climate							
				delivery of the Fire and Rescue	Change in	from laid planning□				2. Maintenance of							
				Plan, the Medium Term Financial	Conservative	Increased risk of				Political awareness							
				Plan and the Integrated Risk	leadership□	industrial unrest□				3. Reporting to the							
				Management Plan.	General Election	Delay in spending				PFCC on the change							
					brought forward□	review and allocation of				programme							
					Change in	central grants□											
					Government□	Medium Term financial											
					Government without a	planning scenario											
					majority□	incorrect□											
					National resources	Potential difficulties in											
					diverted to COVID-19	planning for a balanced											
					for a substantial period	budget□											
					of time	Key projects delayed											
					National policies e.g.	Savings identified in the											
					Social Isolation and	medium-term financial											
					Distancing policies	plan not made											
					impacting on Service	Fire and Rescue plan											
					Delivery	objectives not all											
					Austerity returns due to	achieved											
					government debt												
					resulting from COVID-												
					19 spending □												
SRR150012		Strategic Risk	09/07/2018	Staff and/or volunteers fail to	Ineffective	Unnecessary harm,	Rick Hylton	Possible	Critical	1. Strategic lead	Rick Hylton	Unlikely	Significant	6	6		
	Protection	Register		recognise & take appropriate action	Safeguarding policy	stress and loss of dignity				identified for	Donna Bentley     Donna Bentley						
	&			where a 'Safeguarding' issue occurs.		to individual(s)				Safeguarding to	Donna Bentley     Karl Edwards						
	Response				Lack of understanding, awareness and training	Damage to the				engage with SLT and report to PFCC	Kari Edwards     Donna Bentlev						
										2. Appropriate and	6. Rick Hylton						
					relating to existing	reputation/confidence				current Safeguarding	Z. Donna Bentlev						
					policies and procedures relating to	stakeholders and the				Policy in place for	8. Donna Bentley						
					Safeguarding	public =				Adults and Children	6. Donna benney						
					Breaching of GDPR	Potential for				3. Appropriate							
					and/or poor information					training, development							
					governance.	penalties =				and awareness in place							
					Poor strategic	Poor working				for key members of							
					leadership□	environment =				staff							
					Inappropriate/poorly	Low staff morale□				4. Appropriate level							
					implemented	The vulnerable have an				of DBS checks are							
1	1		1		recruitment policy and	increased risk from harm				carried out on staff							
	1				procedures					5. Safeguarding							
1	1		1		Unavailability of					Manager to raise at the							
	1				appropriately trained					appropriate level any							
	1				staff to offer					concerns							
	1				safeguarding advice					6. Compliance with							
	1		1		5 5					Section 11 audit							
1	1									process, and							
	1		1							consequent reporting to	, I						
	1		1							Safeguarding boards							
										7. Business							
1	1									Continuity Arrangments	, l						
										for Safeguarding			l				

SRR150014	Logol	Strategic Risk	00/07/2019	There is a risk that through action or	A failure to energte cofe	USE and/or Balica	Rick Hylton	Likoly	Critical	20 1	. Service's Policies	1. Moira Bruin	Unlikely	Cignificant	6	2			
SKK 1500 14			09/07/2016				RICK HYILON	Likely	Critical				Unlikely	Significant	0	3			
	Compliance	Register		non-actions by the Service, there is		investigation□					re aligned with the	2. Danny Bruin							
				a fatality of a member of staff or	Inadequate operational	Criminal and/or Civil					lational Operational	3. Paul							
				the public	response□	proceedings against The				G	Suidance Programme	Chipperfield							
				·	Poor training at all	Authority □				2	. Health and Safety	4. Paul							
					levels	Criminal and/or Civil					raining and	Chipperfield							
					Poor training facilities	proceedings against the					ompliance with	5. Dave Bill							
					at Fire Stations	CFO/CEO					ISG65	<ol><li>Natasha Mistry</li></ol>							
					including poor and/or	Custodial Sentence□					I Incident Command	7. Colette Black							
					out-of-date resources	High financial				Т	raining - verification	8. Danny Bruin							
					Poor training standards						nd development	9. Colette Black							
					and competency	Employer liability/Public					rogramme	10. Colette Black							
					levels□	liability claims□					Liaison with other	11. Paul							
					Inappropriate	Severe and long-term						Chipperfield							
					techniques on the	lowering of staff				al	ligned to the	12. Danny Bruin							
					incident ground □	morale□					rinciples of JESIP	13. Dave Bill							
					No or inadequate	Loss of reputation with				P.	. Continued	14. Danny Bruin							
					training metrics and	partners, stakeholders					ssessment of risks,	<ol><li>15. Danny Bruin</li></ol>							
					record keeping□	and the public. □				b	oth National and	<ol><li>16. Neil Fenwick</li></ol>							
					Poor training policies	Escalation of a situation				Le	ocal to the Service by								
					and procedures□	leading to further injury					ne Strategic								
					Poor, or lack of, critical	and a start of a second as													
											ssessment of Risk								
					training facilities (e.g.	public					I Implementation of								
	1		1	I	BA Chambers/hot fire			l		a	new/improved						l J	1	
	1		1		training)□						ystem to monitor On-								
	1		1																
	1		1		Poor succession						Call availability								
	1		1	I	planning leading to						. Operational								
	1		1		skills gaps at all levels					tr	aining plan								
	1		1	1	(staff loss through						Death in Service								
	1		1	I															
					retirement)□						lan								
					Skill fade due to					9.	. Risk critical								
					attending less					tra	raining								
					operational incidents						0. L&D Advisory								
					not addressed through						roup established								
					effective training □					111	1. Updated L&D								
					Not adopting the					nı	rioirites								
					National Operational						2. Learning								
					Guidance□						<ol><li>Use of New</li></ol>								
					Insufficient time or						echnology								
					mounicient time of					le									
000450047	D	Otractical District	00/07/0040	The Opening City to			Distribution		0.1111			4 1 4 1	D	0''6'1	_	-			_
SRR150017	Prevention,		09/07/2018	The Service fails to prepare or plan	Lack of commitment to		Rick Hylton	Almost	Critical	25 1.	. Service engages	1. Ian Adams	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Prevention, Protection	Strategic Risk Register	09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil		confidence and	Rick Hylton	Almost Certain	Critical	25 1. aı	. Service engages nd is a key partner	2. Danny Bruin	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017			09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience	confidence and	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. aı	. Service engages nd is a key partner	2. Danny Bruin	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum	confidence and reputational damage□	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. aı w	. Service engages nd is a key partner vithin the Essex	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017			09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum Poor engagement with	confidence and reputational damage□ Public enquiry where the	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. ai w R	. Service engages nd is a key partner vithin the Essex Resilience Forum	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum□ Poor engagement with the Service and key	confidence and reputational damage□ Public enquiry where the Service is criticised□	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. ai w R 21	. Service engages nd is a key partner vithin the Essex Resilience Forum	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum Poor engagement with	confidence and reputational damage□ Public enquiry where the	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. ai w R 21	. Service engages nd is a key partner vithin the Essex Resilience Forum	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum□ Poor engagement with the Service and key partners□	confidence and reputational damage□ Public enquiry where the Service is criticised□ Public fatalities which	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. ai w R 2i w	. Service engages nd is a key partner vithin the Essex desilience Forum 1. Effective Planning vith other blue light	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum Poor engagement with the Service and key partners Interfective planning due	confidence and reputational damage□ Public enquiry where the Service is criticised□ Public fatalities which could have been	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. au W R 2. w S	Service engages nd is a key partner vithin the Essex tesilience Forum L Effective Planning vith other blue light tervice in dealing with	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum Deor engagement with the Service and key partners Ineffective planning due to lack of	confidence and reputational damage   Public enquiry where the Service is criticised   Public fatalities which could have been avoided	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. au W R 21 W S au	. Service engages nd is a key partner ithin the Essex tesilience Forum i. Effective Planning ith other blue light tervice in dealing with n incident (i.e. MTFA)	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum Poor engagement with the Service and key partners Ineffective planning due to lack of capacity/resources	confidence and reputational damage □ Public enquiry where the Service is criticised □ Public fatalities which could have been avoided □ Legal challenge □	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. au W R 2: w S au in	. Service engages nd is a key partner ithin the Essex Resilience Forum I. Effective Planning ith other blue light rervice in dealing with in incident (i.e. MTFA) In line with the	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum Deor engagement with the Service and key partners Ineffective planning due to lack of	confidence and reputational damage   Public enquiry where the Service is criticised   Public fatalities which could have been avoided	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. au W R 2: w S au in	. Service engages nd is a key partner ithin the Essex tesilience Forum I. Effective Planning ith other blue light tervice in dealing with n incident (i.e. MTFA)	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum Poor engagement with the Service and key partners Interfective planning due to lack of capacity/resources Service resources not	confidence and reputational damage   Public enquiry where the Service is criticised   Public fatalities which could have been avoided   Legal challenge   The Service responds	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. ai w R 2: w S ai in	Service engages nd is a key partner within the Essex tesilience Forum. Effective Planning with other blue light tervice in dealing with n incident (i.e. MTFA) in line with the rinciples of JESIP	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum Poor engagement with the Service and key partners Ineffective planning due to lack of capacity/resources Service resources not aligned to areas of mos	confidence and reputational damage□ Public enquiry where the Service is criticised□ Public fatalities which could have been avoided□ Legal challenge □ The Service responds tpoorly to National	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. aa w R 2. w S ai in pl	Service engages nd is a key partner // ithin the Essex kestilience Forum L Effective Planning // ith other blue light rervice in dealing with n incident (i.e. MTFA) line with the rinciples of JESIP L The Service	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum Poor engagement with the Service and key partners Interest Poor engagement with the Service planning due to lack of capacity/resources Service resources not aligned to areas of mos need Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poo	confidence and reputational damage □ Public enquiry where the Service is criticised □ Public fatalities which could have been avoided □ Legal challenge □ The Service responds poorly to National Marauding Terrorist □	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. aa w R 2. w S ai in p p 3.	Service engages of is a key partner within the Essex testlience Forum Effective Planning with other blue light service in dealing with in incident (i.e. MTFA) line with the rinciples of JESIP The Service gularly reviews Risks	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum Poor engagement with the Service and key partners Interfective planning due to lack of capacity/resources Service resources not aligned to areas of mos need Poor planning to risks	confidence and reputational damage □ Public enquiry where the Service is criticised □ Public fatalities which could have been avoided □ Legal challenge □ The Service responds t poorly to National Marauding Terrorist □ Service core delivery	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. au W R 21. S au in pp 31. re fr	Service engages nd is a key partner rithin the Essex rithin the Essex resilience Forum i. Effective Planning rith other blue light ervice in dealing with n incident (i.e. MTFA) n line with the rinciples of JESIP i. The Service segularly reviews Risks om large events	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum Poor engagement with the Service and key partners2 Ineffective planning due to lack of capacity/resources of aligned to areas of mos need Poor planning to risks high up on the	confidence and reputational damage □ Public enquiry where the Service is criticised □ Public fatalities which could have been avoided □ Legal challenge □ The Service responds toorly to National Marauding Terrorist □ Service core delivery functions are not	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. au W R 2. w S au in pp 3. re frr	Service engages nd is a key partner within the Essex tesilience Forum Effective Planning with other blue light erevice in dealing with incident (i.e. MTFA) I line with the rinciples of JESIP I. The Service egularly reviews Risks om large events prough its Strategic	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum Poor engagement with the Service and key partners Interfective planning due to lack of capacity/resources Service resources not aligned to areas of mos need Poor planning to risks	confidence and reputational damage □ Public enquiry where the Service is criticised □ Public fatalities which could have been avoided □ Legal challenge □ The Service responds t poorly to National Marauding Terrorist □ Service core delivery	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. au W R 2. w S au in pp 3. re frr	Service engages nd is a key partner rithin the Essex rithin the Essex resilience Forum i. Effective Planning rith other blue light ervice in dealing with n incident (i.e. MTFA) n line with the rinciples of JESIP i. The Service segularly reviews Risks om large events	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum Poor engagement with the Service and key partners Ineffective planning due to lack of capacity/resources of Service resources not aligned to areas of mos need Poor planning to risks high up on the Community Risk	confidence and reputational damage □ Public enquiry where the Service is criticised □ Public fatalities which could have been avoided □ Legal challenge □ The Service responds toody to National Marauding Terrorist □ Service core delivery functions are not delivered. □	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. all www.R. 22. www.S. all in p. 33. ref. fr. th. A.	Service engages of is a key partner rithin the Essex itesilience Forum Effective Planning ith other blue light ervice in dealing with in incident (i.e. MTFA) line with the rinciples of JESIP The Service ggularly reviews Risks rom large events rorugh its Strategic issessment of Risk	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum□ Poor engagement with the Service and key partners□ Ineffective planning due to lack of capacity/resources□ Service resources not aligned to areas of mos need□ Poor planning to risks high up on the Community Risk Register; -□	confidence and reputational damage □ Public enquiry where the Service is criticised □ Public fatalities which could have been avoided □ Legal challenge □ Legal challenge □ The Service responds to poorly to National Marauding Terrorist□ Service core delivery functions are not delivered. □ The Service needs to	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. au w R 2: w S au in pp 33. ref	Service engages nd is a key partner rithin the Essex ithin the Essex tesilience Forum i. Effective Planning rith other blue light ervice in dealing with n incident (i.e. MTFA) in line with the rinciples of JESIP i. The Service geglarly reviews Risks om large events mough its Strategic ssessment of Risk ocument	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum Poor engagement with the Service and key partners2 Ineffective planning due to lack of capacity/resources of Service resources not aligned to areas of mos need Poor planning to risks high up on the Community Risk Register, - Terrorist incident	confidence and reputational damage   Public enquiry where the Service is criticised   Public fatalities which could have been avoided   Legal challenge   The Service responds to poorly to National Marauding Terrorist   Service core delivery functions are not delivered.   The Service needs to revise its Critical	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. ai www. www. www. www. www. www. www.	Service engages nd is a key partner within the Essex tesilience Forum Effective Planning with other blue light increase in dealing with incident (i.e. MTFA) I line with the rinciples of JESIP I. The Service egularly reviews Risks om large events mough its Strategic ssessment of Risk ocument Service ensures	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum□ Poor engagement with the Service and key partners□ Ineffective planning due to lack of capacity/resources of Service resources not aligned to areas of mos need□ Poor planning to risks high up on the Community Risk Register; -□ - Terrorist incident□ - Tidal Flooding□	confidence and reputational damage   Public enquiry where the Service is criticised   Public fatalities which could have been avoided   Legal challenge   The Service responds to poorly to National Marauding Terrorist   Service core delivery functions are not delivered.   The Service needs to revise its Critical workstreams in light of	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. al www. San in pp. pp. pp. pp. pp. pp. pp. pp. pp. pp	Service engages of is a key partner within the Essex tesilience Forum Effective Planning ith other blue light ervice in dealing with in incident (i.e. MTFA) line with the rinciples of JESIP The Service ggularly reviews Risks rom large events rough its Strategic ssessment of Risk ocument L. Service ensures at key station policy	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum Foor engagement with the Service and key partners Ineffective planning due to lack of capacity/resources Service resources not aligned to areas of mos need Poor planning to risks high up on the Community Risk Register; Ineffective Foor India Flooding India	confidence and reputational damage □ Public enquiry where the Service is criticised □ Public fatalities which could have been avoided □ Legal challenge □ The Service responds to poorly to National Marauding Terrorist□ Service core delivery functions are not delivered. □ The Service needs to revise its Critical workstreams in light of new ways of working	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. au www. 22 www. sa au in pp in the A A A 44 the isis	Service engages nd is a key partner within the Essex tesilience Forum Effective Planning with other blue light service in dealing with incident (i.e. MTFA) I line with the rinciples of JESIP I. The Service egularly reviews Risks om large events rough its Strategic ssessment of Risk ocument I. Service ensures at key station policy being implemented	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum Foor engagement with the Service and key partners Ineffective planning due to lack of capacity/resources Service resources not aligned to areas of mos need Poor planning to risks high up on the Community Risk Register; Ineffective Foor India Flooding India	confidence and reputational damage □ Public enquiry where the Service is criticised □ Public fatalities which could have been avoided □ Legal challenge □ The Service responds to poorly to National Marauding Terrorist□ Service core delivery functions are not delivered. □ The Service needs to revise its Critical workstreams in light of new ways of working	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. au www. 22 www. sa au in pp in the A A A 44 the isis	Service engages nd is a key partner within the Essex tesilience Forum Effective Planning with other blue light service in dealing with incident (i.e. MTFA) I line with the rinciples of JESIP I. The Service egularly reviews Risks om large events rough its Strategic ssessment of Risk ocument I. Service ensures at key station policy being implemented	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum Poor engagement with the Service and key partners2 Ineffective planning due to lack of capacity/resources of service resources not aligned to areas of mos need Poor planning to risks high up on the Community Risk Register; - Tidal Flooding lack of planning for an incident which may	confidence and reputational damage   Public enquiry where the Service is criticised   Public fatalities which could have been avoided   Legal challenge   The Service responds to the structure of the structure o	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. aa www. www. www. www. www. www. www	Service engages nd is a key partner rithin the Essex tesilience Forum . Effective Planning rith other blue light service in dealing with n incident (i.e. MTFA) line with the rinciples of JESIP . The Service gularly reviews Risks om large events mough its Strategic ssessment of Risk ocument . Service ensures at key station policy is being implemented with regards to	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum□ Poor engagement with the Service and key partners□ Ineffective planning due to lack of capacity/resources □ Service resources not aligned to areas of mos need□ Poor planning to risks high up on the Community Risk Register; -□ - Terrorist incident□ - Tidal Flooding□ lack of planning for an incident which may reduce staff numbers	confidence and reputational damage □ Public enquiry where the Service is criticised □ Public fatalities which could have been avoided □ Legal challenge □ The Service responds to poorly to National Marauding Terrorist □ Service core delivery functions are not delivered. □ The Service needs to revise its Critical workstreams in light of new ways of working during or following a major incident. □	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. al www.	Service engages of is a key partner ithin the Essex tesilience Forum Effective Planning ith other blue light ervice in dealing with n incident (i.e. MTFA) line with the rinciples of JESIP The Service gaylarly reviews Risks om large events rough its Strategic ssessment of Risk ocument Service ensures at key station policy is being implemented ith regards to nsuring availability is	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum   Poor engagement with the Service and key partners2   Ineffective planning due to lack of capacity/resources not aligned to areas of mos need   Poor planning to risks high up on the Community Risk Register, -   Terrorist incident   Tidal Flooding   Lack of planning for an incident which may reduce staff numbers for a significant period	confidence and reputational damage □ Public enquiry where the Service is criticised □ Public fatalities which could have been avoided □ Legal challenge □ The Service responds to poorly to National Marauding Terrorist □ Service core delivery functions are not delivered. □ The Service needs to revise its Critical workstreams in light of new ways of working during or following a major incident. □ Cessation of certain	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. aa www. R. 2. 2. S. aa in pp	Service engages nd is a key partner within the Essex tesilience Forum Effective Planning with other blue light erevice in dealing with nicident (i.e. MTFA) I line with the minciplens of JESIP I. The Service egularly reviews Risks om large events mough its Strategic sssessment of Risk ocument is Service ensures at key station policy being implemented with regards to nsuring availability is anintained.	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum□ Poor engagement with the Service and key partners□ Ineffective planning due to lack of capacity/resources □ Service resources not aligned to areas of mos need□ Poor planning to risks high up on the Community Risk Register; -□ - Terrorist incident□ - Tidal Flooding□ lack of planning for an incident which may reduce staff numbers	confidence and reputational damage □ Public enquiry where the Service is criticised □ Public fatalities which could have been avoided □ Legal challenge □ The Service responds to poorly to National Marauding Terrorist □ Service core delivery functions are not delivered. □ The Service needs to revise its Critical workstreams in light of new ways of working during or following a major incident. □	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. aa www. R. 2. 2. S. aa in pp	Service engages of is a key partner ithin the Essex tesilience Forum Effective Planning ith other blue light ervice in dealing with n incident (i.e. MTFA) line with the rinciples of JESIP The Service gaylarly reviews Risks om large events rough its Strategic ssessment of Risk ocument Service ensures at key station policy is being implemented ith regards to nsuring availability is	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum Poor engagement with the Service and key partners2 Ineffective planning due to lack of capacity/resources not aligned to areas of mos need Poor planning to risks high up on the Community Risk Register; - Terrorist incident - Tidal Flooding for an incident which may reduce staff numbers for a significant period of time Tidal Poor Tidal	confidence and reputational damage □ Public enquiry where the Service is criticised □ Public fatalities which could have been avoided □ Legal challenge □ The Service responds toorly to National Marauding Terrorist □ Service core delivery functions are not delivered. □ The Service needs to revise its Critical workstreams in light of new ways of working during or following a major incident. □ Cessation of certain activities and long-term	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. al with with the state of	Service engages nd is a key partner rithin the Essex tesilience Forum Effective Planning rith other blue light service in dealing with n incident (i.e. MTFA) line with the rinciples of JESIP The Service gularly reviews Risks orm large events arough its Strategic sseessment of Risk ocument Service ensures at key station policy being implemented rith regards to sucurial value and a value a	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum□ Poor engagement with the Service and key partners□ Ineffective planning due to lack of capacity/resources of Service resources not aligned to areas of mos need□ Poor planning to risks high up on the Community Risk Register; -□ - Terrorist incident□ - Tidal Flooding□ lack of planning for an incident which may reduce staff numbers for a significant period of time□ Changes to legislation	confidence and reputational damage □ Public enquiry where the Service is criticised □ Public fatalities which could have been avoided □ Legal challenge □ The Service responds to poorly to National Marauding Terrorist□ Service core delivery functions are not delivered. □ The Service needs to revise its Critical workstreams in light of new ways of working during or following a major incident. □ Cessation of certain activities and long-term restructuring of	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. al www. common with the common way. Common was a common with the common way. Common was a	Service engages and is a key partner within the Essex ithin the Essex ithin the Essex itesilience Forum Effective Planning ith other blue light ervice in dealing with a incident (i.e. MTFA) line with the rinciples of JESIP The Service agularly reviews Risks om large events rough its Strategic ssessment of Risk ocument Service ensures and key station policy is being implemented with regards to nsuring availability is naintained. The Service ususiness Continuity	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum Poor engagement with the Service and key partners2 Ineffective planning due to lack of capacity/resources of aligned to areas of mos need Poor planning to risks high up on the Community Risk Register; - Service resources not aligned to areas of mos need Lack of planning to risks high up on the Community Risk Register; - Idal Flooding Lack of planning for an incident which may reduce staff numbers for a significant period of time Changes to legislation where resourcing is not	confidence and reputational damage □ Public enquiry where the Service is criticised □ Public fatalities which could have been avoided □ Legal challenge □ The Service responds to poorly to National Marauding Terrorist □ Service core delivery functions are not delivered. □ The Service needs to revise its Critical workstreams in light of new ways of working during or following a major incident. □ Cessation of certain activities and long-term restructuring of departments and staff	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. aa www. w. c.	Service engages nd is a key partner rithin the Essex tesilience Forum . Effective Planning rith other blue light iervice in dealing with nicident (i.e. MTFA) . Iline with the nicident (i.e. MTFA) . Iline with the rinciples of JESIP . The Service egularly reviews Risks om large events mough its Strategic ssessment of Risk ocument . Service ensures at key station policy being implemented rith regards to nsuring availability is naintained. It he Service usiness Continuity rrangements are	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum Poor engagement with the Service and key partners Interest Poor engagement with the Service and key partners Interest Poor engagety Poor engagety Poor planning to risks high up on the Community Risk Register; — Tidal Flooding lack of planning for an incident which may reduce staff numbers for a significant period of time Changes to legislation where resourcing is not sufficient to ensure	confidence and reputational damage □ Public enquiry where the Service is criticised □ Public fatalities which could have been avoided □ Legal challenge □ The Service responds to poorly to National Marauding Terrorist□ Service core delivery functions are not delivered. □ The Service needs to revise its Critical workstreams in light of new ways of working during or following a major incident. □ Cessation of certain activities and long-term restructuring of	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. al with with with the state of the sta	Service engages and is a key partner within the Essex ithin the Essex testilence Forum . Effective Planning ith other blue light ervice in dealing with an incident (i.e. MTFA) line with the rinciples of JESIP in the Service gaularly reviews Risks om large events mough its Strategic assessment of Risk ocument . Service ensures hat key station policy is being implemented ith regards to nsuring availability is naintained. The Service tusiness Continuity rangements are fective, regularly	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum Poor engagement with the Service and key partners2 Ineffective planning due to lack of capacity/resources of aligned to areas of mos need Poor planning to risks high up on the Community Risk Register; - Service resources not aligned to areas of mos need Lack of planning to risks high up on the Community Risk Register; - Idal Flooding Lack of planning for an incident which may reduce staff numbers for a significant period of time Changes to legislation where resourcing is not	confidence and reputational damage □ Public enquiry where the Service is criticised □ Public fatalities which could have been avoided □ Legal challenge □ The Service responds to poorly to National Marauding Terrorist □ Service core delivery functions are not delivered. □ The Service needs to revise its Critical workstreams in light of new ways of working during or following a major incident. □ Cessation of certain activities and long-term restructuring of departments and staff	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. al with with with the size of the si	Service engages nd is a key partner rithin the Essex tesilience Forum . Effective Planning rith other blue light iervice in dealing with nicident (i.e. MTFA) . Iline with the nicident (i.e. MTFA) . Iline with the rinciples of JESIP . The Service egularly reviews Risks om large events mough its Strategic ssessment of Risk ocument . Service ensures at key station policy being implemented rith regards to nsuring availability is naintained. It he Service usiness Continuity rrangements are	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum□ Poor engagement with the Service and key partners□ Ineffective planning due to lack of capacity/resources of capacity/resources not aligned to areas of mos need□ Poor planning to risks high up on the Community Risk Register; -□ -1 Terrorist incident□ -1 Tidal Flooding□ lack of planning for an incident which may reduce staff numbers for a significant period of time□ Changes to legislation where resourcing is not sufficient to ensure these are actioned and	confidence and reputational damage □ Public enquiry where the Service is criticised □ Public fatalities which could have been avoided □ Legal challenge □ The Service responds to poorly to National Marauding Terrorist □ Service core delivery functions are not delivered. □ The Service needs to revise its Critical workstreams in light of new ways of working during or following a major incident. □ Cessation of certain activities and long-term restructuring of departments and staff	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. an www. R. S.	Service engages nd is a key partner within the Essex tesilience Forum  Effective Planning with other blue light erevice in dealing with incident (i.e. MTFA) I line with the ninciples of JESIP. The Service egularly reviews Risks om large events rough its Strategic ssessment of Risk ocument  E. Service ensures tat key station policy being implemented with regards to nauring availability is nauring availability is nauring availability is rangements are ffective, regularly viewed and	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum Poor engagement with the Service and key partners2 Ineffective planning due to lack of capacity/resources. Service resources not aligned to areas of mos need Poor planning to risks high up on the Community Risk Register; - Ineffective planning for an incident which may reduce staff numbers for a significant period of time Changes to legislation where resourcing is not sufficient to ensure these are actioned and applied appropriately	confidence and reputational damage □ Public enquiry where the Service is criticised □ Public fatalities which could have been avoided □ Legal challenge □ The Service responds to poorly to National Marauding Terrorist □ Service core delivery functions are not delivered. □ The Service needs to revise its Critical workstreams in light of new ways of working during or following a major incident. □ Cessation of certain activities and long-term restructuring of departments and staff	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. an www. R. S.	Service engages and is a key partner within the Essex ithin the Essex testilence Forum . Effective Planning ith other blue light ervice in dealing with an incident (i.e. MTFA) line with the rinciples of JESIP in the Service gaularly reviews Risks om large events mough its Strategic assessment of Risk ocument . Service ensures hat key station policy is being implemented ith regards to nsuring availability is naintained. The Service tusiness Continuity rangements are fective, regularly	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum Poor engagement with the Service and key partners Interest Poor engagement with the Service and key partners Interest Poor engagety Poor engagety Poor planning due to lack of capacity/resources not aligned to areas of mos need Poor planning to risks high up on the Community Risk Register; — Terrorist incident Tidal Flooding lack of planning for an incident which may reduce staff numbers for a significant period of time Changes to legislation where resourcing is not sufficient to ensure these are actioned and applied appropriately Business continuity	confidence and reputational damage □ Public enquiry where the Service is criticised □ Public fatalities which could have been avoided □ Legal challenge □ The Service responds to poorly to National Marauding Terrorist □ Service core delivery functions are not delivered. □ The Service needs to revise its Critical workstreams in light of new ways of working during or following a major incident. □ Cessation of certain activities and long-term restructuring of departments and staff	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. an www. R. S.	Service engages nd is a key partner within the Essex tesilience Forum  Effective Planning with other blue light erevice in dealing with incident (i.e. MTFA) I line with the ninciples of JESIP. The Service egularly reviews Risks om large events rough its Strategic ssessment of Risk ocument  E. Service ensures tat key station policy being implemented with regards to nauring availability is nauring availability is nauring availability is rangements are ffective, regularly viewed and	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum   Poor engagement with the Service and key partners2   Ineffective planning due to lack of capacity/resources not aligned to areas of mos need   Poor planning to risks high up on the Community Risk Register, -   Terrorist incident   Tidal Flooding   Iack of planning for an incident which may reduce staff numbers for a significant period of time   Changes to legislation where resourcing is not sufficient to ensure these are actioned and applied appropriately   Business continuity arrangements are not arrangements are not	confidence and reputational damage □ Public enquiry where the Service is criticised □ Public fatalities which could have been avoided □ Legal challenge □ The Service responds to poorly to National Marauding Terrorist □ Service core delivery functions are not delivered. □ The Service needs to revise its Critical workstreams in light of new ways of working during or following a major incident. □ Cessation of certain activities and long-term restructuring of departments and staff	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. an www. R. S.	Service engages nd is a key partner within the Essex tesilience Forum  Effective Planning with other blue light erevice in dealing with incident (i.e. MTFA) I line with the ninciples of JESIP. The Service egularly reviews Risks om large events rough its Strategic ssessment of Risk ocument  E. Service ensures tat key station policy being implemented with regards to nauring availability is nauring availability is nauring availability is rangements are ffective, regularly viewed and	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum   Poor engagement with the Service and key partners2   Ineffective planning due to lack of capacity/resources not aligned to areas of mos need   Poor planning to risks high up on the Community Risk Register, -   Terrorist incident   Tidal Flooding   Iack of planning for an incident which may reduce staff numbers for a significant period of time   Changes to legislation where resourcing is not sufficient to ensure these are actioned and applied appropriately   Business continuity arrangements are not arrangements are not	confidence and reputational damage □ Public enquiry where the Service is criticised □ Public fatalities which could have been avoided □ Legal challenge □ The Service responds to poorly to National Marauding Terrorist □ Service core delivery functions are not delivered. □ The Service needs to revise its Critical workstreams in light of new ways of working during or following a major incident. □ Cessation of certain activities and long-term restructuring of departments and staff	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. an www. R. S.	Service engages nd is a key partner within the Essex tesilience Forum  Effective Planning with other blue light erevice in dealing with incident (i.e. MTFA) I line with the ninciples of JESIP. The Service egularly reviews Risks om large events rough its Strategic ssessment of Risk ocument  E. Service ensures tat key station policy being implemented with regards to nauring availability is nauring availability is nauring availability is rangements are ffective, regularly viewed and	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum Poor engagement with the Service and key partners2 Ineffective planning due to lack of capacity/resources not aligned to areas of mos need Poor planning to risks high up on the Community Risk Register; - Tidal Flooding lack of planning for an incident which may reduce staff numbers for a significant period of time Changes to legislation where resourcing is not sufficient to ensure these are actioned and applied appropriately Business continuity arrangements are not adequate	confidence and reputational damage □ Public enquiry where the Service is criticised □ Public fatalities which could have been avoided □ Legal challenge □ The Service responds to poorly to National Marauding Terrorist □ Service core delivery functions are not delivered. □ The Service needs to revise its Critical workstreams in light of new ways of working during or following a major incident. □ Cessation of certain activities and long-term restructuring of departments and staff	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. an www. R. S.	Service engages nd is a key partner within the Essex tesilience Forum  Effective Planning with other blue light erevice in dealing with incident (i.e. MTFA) I line with the ninciples of JESIP. The Service egularly reviews Risks om large events rough its Strategic ssessment of Risk ocument  E. Service ensures tat key station policy being implemented with regards to nauring availability is nauring availability is nauring availability is rangements are ffective, regularly viewed and	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum Poor engagement with the Service and key partners Ineffective planning due to lack of capacity/resources not aligned to areas of mos need Poor planning to risks high up on the Community Risk Register; - Terrorist incident Tidal Flooding lack of planning for an incident which may reduce staff numbers for a significant period of time Changes to legislation where resourcing is not sufficient to ensure these are actioned and applied appropriately Business continuity arrangements are not adequate Reduction in staff	confidence and reputational damage □ Public enquiry where the Service is criticised □ Public fatalities which could have been avoided □ Legal challenge □ The Service responds to poorly to National Marauding Terrorist □ Service core delivery functions are not delivered. □ The Service needs to revise its Critical workstreams in light of new ways of working during or following a major incident. □ Cessation of certain activities and long-term restructuring of departments and staff	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. an www. R. S.	Service engages nd is a key partner within the Essex tesilience Forum  Effective Planning with other blue light erevice in dealing with incident (i.e. MTFA) I line with the ninciples of JESIP. The Service egularly reviews Risks om large events rough its Strategic ssessment of Risk ocument  E. Service ensures tat key station policy being implemented with regards to nauring availability is nauring availability is nauring availability is rangements are ffective, regularly viewed and	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum   Poor engagement with the Service and key partners2   Ineffective planning due to lack of capacity/resources   Service resources not aligned to areas of mos need   Poor planning to risks high up on the Community Risk Register; -   - Tidal Flooding   lack of planning for an incident which may reduce staff numbers for a significant period of time   Changes to legislation where resourcing is not sufficient to ensure these are actioned and applied appropriately   Business continuity arrangements are not adequate   Reduction in staff numbers lower than	confidence and reputational damage □ Public enquiry where the Service is criticised □ Public fatalities which could have been avoided □ Legal challenge □ The Service responds to poorly to National Marauding Terrorist □ Service core delivery functions are not delivered. □ The Service needs to revise its Critical workstreams in light of new ways of working during or following a major incident. □ Cessation of certain activities and long-term restructuring of departments and staff	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. an www. R. S.	Service engages nd is a key partner within the Essex tesilience Forum  Effective Planning with other blue light erevice in dealing with incident (i.e. MTFA) I line with the ninciples of JESIP. The Service egularly reviews Risks om large events rough its Strategic ssessment of Risk ocument  E. Service ensures tat key station policy being implemented with regards to nauring availability is nauring availability is nauring availability is rangements are ffective, regularly viewed and	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum Poor engagement with the Service and key partners Ineffective planning due to lack of capacity/resources not aligned to areas of mos need Poor planning to risks high up on the Community Risk Register; - Terrorist incident Tidal Flooding lack of planning for an incident which may reduce staff numbers for a significant period of time Changes to legislation where resourcing is not sufficient to ensure these are actioned and applied appropriately Business continuity arrangements are not adequate Reduction in staff	confidence and reputational damage □ Public enquiry where the Service is criticised □ Public fatalities which could have been avoided □ Legal challenge □ The Service responds to poorly to National Marauding Terrorist □ Service core delivery functions are not delivered. □ The Service needs to revise its Critical workstreams in light of new ways of working during or following a major incident. □ Cessation of certain activities and long-term restructuring of departments and staff	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. an www. R. S.	Service engages nd is a key partner within the Essex tesilience Forum  Effective Planning with other blue light erevice in dealing with incident (i.e. MTFA) I line with the ninciples of JESIP. The Service egularly reviews Risks om large events rough its Strategic ssessment of Risk ocument  E. Service ensures tat key station policy being implemented with regards to nauring availability is nauring availability is nauring availability is rangements are ffective, regularly viewed and	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum Poor engagement with the Service and key partners2 Ineffective planning due to lack of capacity/resources of service resources not aligned to areas of mos need Poor planning to risks high up on the Community Risk Register; - Total Flooding lack of planning for an incident which may reduce staff numbers for a significant period of time Changes to legislation where resourcing is not sufficient to ensure these are actioned and applied appropriately Business continuity arrangements are not adequate Reduction in staff numbers lower than planning assumptions 1	confidence and reputational damage □ Public enquiry where the Service is criticised □ Public fatalities which could have been avoided □ Legal challenge □ The Service responds to poorly to National Marauding Terrorist □ Service core delivery functions are not delivered. □ The Service needs to revise its Critical workstreams in light of new ways of working during or following a major incident. □ Cessation of certain activities and long-term restructuring of departments and staff	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. an www. R. S.	Service engages nd is a key partner within the Essex tesilience Forum  Effective Planning with other blue light erevice in dealing with incident (i.e. MTFA) I line with the ninciples of JESIP. The Service egularly reviews Risks om large events rough its Strategic ssessment of Risk ocument  E. Service ensures tat key station policy being implemented with regards to nauring availability is nauring availability is nauring availability is rangements are ffective, regularly viewed and	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum   Poor engagement with the Service and key partners2   Ineffective planning due to lack of capacity/resources not aligned to areas of mos need □ Poor planning to risks high up on the Community Risk Register; -□ - Terrorist incident □ - Tidal Flooding □ lack of planning for an incident which may reduce staff numbers for a significant period of time □ Changes to legislation where resourcing is not sufficient to ensure these are actioned and applied appropriately □ Business continuity arrangements are not adequate □ Reduction in staff numbers lower than planning assumptions □ Reduction in staff	confidence and reputational damage □ Public enquiry where the Service is criticised □ Public fatalities which could have been avoided □ Legal challenge □ The Service responds to poorly to National Marauding Terrorist □ Service core delivery functions are not delivered. □ The Service needs to revise its Critical workstreams in light of new ways of working during or following a major incident. □ Cessation of certain activities and long-term restructuring of departments and staff	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. an www. R. S.	Service engages nd is a key partner within the Essex tesilience Forum  Effective Planning with other blue light erevice in dealing with incident (i.e. MTFA) I line with the ninciples of JESIP. The Service egularly reviews Risks om large events rough its Strategic ssessment of Risk ocument  E. Service ensures tat key station policy being implemented with regards to nauring availability is nauring availability is nauring availability is rangements are ffective, regularly viewed and	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum   Poor engagement with the Service and key partners2   Ineffective planning due to lack of capacity/resources. Service resources not aligned to areas of mos need.   Poor planning to risks high up on the Community Risk Register; -   - Tidal Flooding   - Tidal Flooding	confidence and reputational damage □ Public enquiry where the Service is criticised □ Public fatalities which could have been avoided □ Legal challenge □ The Service responds to poorly to National Marauding Terrorist □ Service core delivery functions are not delivered. □ The Service needs to revise its Critical workstreams in light of new ways of working during or following a major incident. □ Cessation of certain activities and long-term restructuring of departments and staff	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. an www. R. S.	Service engages nd is a key partner within the Essex tesilience Forum  Effective Planning with other blue light erevice in dealing with incident (i.e. MTFA) I line with the ninciples of JESIP. The Service egularly reviews Risks om large events rough its Strategic ssessment of Risk ocument  E. Service ensures tat key station policy being implemented with regards to nauring availability is nauring availability is nauring availability is rangements are ffective, regularly viewed and	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum Poor engagement with the Service and key partners2 Ineffective planning due to lack of capacity/resources Service resources not aligned to areas of mos need Poor planning to risks high up on the Community Risk Register; - Tidal Flooding lack of planning for an incident which may reduce staff numbers for a significant period of time Changes to legislation where resourcing is not sufficient to ensure these are actioned and applied appropriately Business continuity arrangements are not adequate Reduction in staff numbers through supporting and	confidence and reputational damage   Public enquiry where the Service is criticised   Public fatalities which could have been avoided   Legal challenge   The Service responds to poorly to National Marauding Terrorist   Service core delivery functions are not delivered.   The Service needs to revise its Critical workstreams in light of new ways of working during or following a major incident.   Cessation of certain activities and long-term restructuring of departments and staff numbers	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. an www. R. S.	Service engages nd is a key partner within the Essex tesilience Forum  Effective Planning with other blue light erevice in dealing with incident (i.e. MTFA) I line with the ninciples of JESIP. The Service egularly reviews Risks om large events rough its Strategic ssessment of Risk ocument  E. Service ensures tat key station policy being implemented with regards to nauring availability is nauring availability is nauring availability is rangements are ffective, regularly viewed and	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			
SRR150017	Protection &		09/07/2018	effectively, in line with Civil Contingencies Act 2004, leading to an inadequate response to an	Lack of commitment to the Essex Resilience Forum   Poor engagement with the Service and key partners2   Ineffective planning due to lack of capacity/resources. Service resources not aligned to areas of mos need.   Poor planning to risks high up on the Community Risk Register; -   - Tidal Flooding   - Tidal Flooding	confidence and reputational damage   Public enquiry where the Service is criticised   Public fatalities which could have been avoided   Legal challenge   The Service responds to poorly to National Marauding Terrorist   Service core delivery functions are not delivered.   The Service needs to revise its Critical workstreams in light of new ways of working during or following a major incident.   Cessation of certain activities and long-term restructuring of departments and staff numbers	Rick Hylton		Critical	25 1. an www. R. S.	Service engages nd is a key partner within the Essex tesilience Forum  Effective Planning with other blue light erevice in dealing with incident (i.e. MTFA) I line with the ninciples of JESIP. The Service egularly reviews Risks om large events rough its Strategic ssessment of Risk ocument  E. Service ensures tat key station policy being implemented with regards to nauring availability is nauring availability is nauring availability is rangements are ffective, regularly viewed and	2. Danny Bruin 3. Dave Bill 4. Neil Fenwick	Rare	Significant	3	3			

SRR150018	Protection & Response	Strategic Risk Register	09/04/2020	There is a risk that the service does not achieve a full and successful migration to on-call as planned through the on-call programme resulting in lack of cover and a financial loss to the service.	Service does not convert the day-crewed station to On-call by April 2021 Unable to recruit On-call firefighters Local political challenge against change to on-call Project Management Escalation route not identified and/or acted upon Poor reporting arrangements Lack of capacity at Strategic level Key roles with the project move/not replaced (i.e. Station Managers of concerting stations)	Stop delivery against some of the objectives identified within the Service business planning□ Increased Political pressure to not make changes.□ The service is unable to provide adequate cover due to lack of on-call personnel□ A financial loss is suffered due to	Moira Bruin	Almost Certain	Major	term financial plan to be included in monthly finance to SLT  2. Reporting on progress of the On-call conversion project  3. Grant Thornton to undertake an Audit of the 2020 programme  4. Update the implementation plan for 2020 programme for PFCC approval on a regular basis.  5. Governance arrangements for change activity are robust and supported by stakeholders  6. Well defined programmes and projects with clear scope and time frames.  7. The Budget build process ensures that resources are available to deliver projects and programmes.  8. Review of 2016/20 IRMP and 2020 Change Programme  9. Increased managerial resources at the Converting stations  10. Individual stations plans completed based on a generic template	4. Dave Bill 5. Dave Bill	Unlikely	Major	8	6		
SRR150019	Promote a positive culture in the workplace	Strategic Risk Register	13/07/2018	There is a risk that due to the absence of a positive and supportive culture the Service fails to provide a safe and inclusive culture which ensures the well-being of staff and contributes to attracting a diverse workforce	Not effectively challenging poor performance/behaviour □ Poor leadership and actions of senior managers not viewed as being in line with Service values□ Decision making made without clear consideration given to the impact across all staffing groups/locations□ Poor communications leading to lack of clarity or understanding of Service intention□ Fail to promote the Managers/leaders with the right skills□ Failure to recognise good performance□ Inability to engage staff effectively in Service change and strategy□ Not having a diverse workforce that reflects Essex communities□ Difficulties in recruiting the right people whilst COVID-19 mitigations are in place □ Decisions made in response to COVID-19 are viewed as divisive by personnel □ Delay in delivery of	Actions and behaviour of staff leads to an environment which does not allow effective engagement with the public of Essex Divides within different staffing groups and locations leading to poor communication and engagement across the Service Poor attendance levels lack of engagement with Service Strategy/Fire and Rescue Plan leading to objectives not being delivered Poor engagement with other agencies leading to thaving effective collaboration and partnership arrangements in place Bullying Lack of productivity High staff turnover Suicide and general mental health issues Lack of diversity in the workforce leading to decision making which is not representative of the communities we serve Unable to harness talent due to barriers in the way difference is perceived	Colette Black	Almost Certain	Major	20 1. Manager development workshops 2. Initiate a listening exercise that seeks to engage with every member of staff. 3. Plan next phase of cultiure change 4. Utilse everyone matter feedback 5. Training 6. Scrutiny	Colette Black     Colette Black	Possible	Significant	9	3		

SRR150020	Prevention, Protection & Response	Strategic Risk Register	13/07/2018	training to ensure that staff have the skills required to provide an effective operational response to the Essex public and ensure the safety of operational staff in line with the Health and Safety at Work Act. The Service does not provide training to ensure that all employees have the skills to carry out their roles.	fire stations□ Poot or lack of critical training facilities (i.e. BA Chambers/hot fire training)□ Inability to deliver centralised courses□ Poor training and competence monitoring systems in place□ Staff not assessed/verified in critical training areas□ Training materials/resources provided out of date or of a poor quality□ Unexpected loss of skill due to attrition□ Insufficient time or capacity to complete required training and development□ Prolonged industrial action leading to lack of training□ Inefficient or ineffective quality assurance systems□ Watch based managers not having the skills or resources to deliver localised training□	lo Service person (s) and/or member of the public. □ Low staff morale leading to poor standards/professionalis m□ Inappropriate techniques leading to poor firefighting/rescue standards impacting of the effectiveness of our response □ Poor succession planning leading to skills gap □ Gaps due to attending less operational incidents not address through effective training □ Police investigation □ Police investigation □ Investigation by the HSE□ High work related linjuries/poor attendance	Colette Black	Likely	Major	16. The new temporary Group Manager in place to provide additional resource to Training 2. Updated L&D priorities agreed by SLT 3. TASK (Training recording system) provision reviewed and a proposed specification provided. 4. Risk critical training updated manually and reported to managers on a monthly basis 5. L&D Advisory group established and meeting Quarterly 6. Quality assurance processes are established 7. Operational Learning, H&S events and monitoring of National reports feeding into training priorities 8. Monthly training reports to SLT and P & R 9. ECFRS adopting and proactively engaging with the	2. Paul Chipperfield 3. Colette Black 4. Colette Black 5. Colette Black 6. Paul Chipperfield 7. Danny Bruin 8. Colette Black	Possible	Major	12	4		
					competence and training attendance not fit for purpose there not being able to evidence					engaging with the regional NOG work 10. Training during COVID-19							
SRR150026	Prevention, Protection & Response	Strategic Risk Register	20/07/2018	Failure in the Service's Information, Communication and Technology (ICT) infrastructure, leading to intermittent or loss of service or current ways of working are not fit for purpose.	external soucres  Ineffective medium/long-term planning Failure of hardware systems Software used no longer supported by supplier Incomaptale	Loss of staff productivity Unable to respond to emergencies in a timely manner resulting in injury, exsssive property damage or loss of life Loss of access to data Loss of data Loss of data None mobilisation of appliance due to failure of critical mobilising architecture i.e. ERB and/or 41 Inability to communicate on a remote basis multi-system failures.	Karl Edwards	Likely	Significant	12 1. Additional resource released in to map ICT infrastructure required for 2024 and beyond 2. Review of current systems to identify effectiveness of each function within ICT 3. The Continued operation of ICT services 4. Group established to identify new opportunities and future planning of ICT processes 5. Regular reporting to Director PP & R on ICT effectiveness in delivering its Business Plan 6. Priolritisation of ICT projects to ensure effective resourcing of critical ICT projects 7. Systems regularly backed up and procedure in place to cover in the event of a power outage 8. Effective Disaster Recovery Plan in place 9. Monthly change/ICT resourcing meetings to ensure ICT/projects are aligned to the same priorities and to identify conflicts	3. Martin Chester 4. Karl Edwards 5. Martin Chester 6. Karl Edwards 7. Martin Chester 8. Martin Chester 9. Karl Edwards 10. Matthew Stalker  Stalker  Stalker	Unlikely	Major	8	3		

000450000	D	01 1 2 5	40/00/0040	The second secon	1 1 6	N	M. i B i	D 11.1	01161	A Discrete	4 M	LL-Pl-de	0::	0	0			
SRR150028		Strategic Risk	10/06/2019	There is a risk that the Service fails		Not able to support key	Moira Bruin	Possible	Significant	9 1. Directorate	1. Moira Bruin	Unlikely	Significant	6	2			
	Protection	Register		to deliver its Prevention, Protection	human and physical,	meetings or engage				Governance	<ol><li>Neil Fenwick</li></ol>							
	&			and Response activities leading to a	loss or reduction of our	effectively with local				Arrangements	<ol><li>James Palmer</li></ol>							
	Response			loss of trust and confidence by the	physical	communities□				Response strategy	4. Natasha Mistry							
				Essex Communities□	infrastructure, □	Loss of trust in ECFRS				3. Prevention and	5. Neil Fenwick							
				Essex Communities	Ineffective ICT	_					6. Moira Bruin							
				Ш						Protection strategies								
					solutions□	Poor Audit/Inspection				CRIS Project	Z. Moira Bruin							
					Poor data	reports				5. Active involvement	8. Neil Fenwick							
				See risk SRR150029	management□	Data collated not				in the Policing Hubs	9. James Palmer							
					Lack of engagement	accurate , which leads to				and Local Strategic	10. James Palmer							
						poor decisions□				Partnerships	11. Paul							
					with Directorate													
					planning process□	Lack of clarity for				6. Directorate Plan	Chipperfield							
					Poor engagement with	Managers on priorities□				Z. Appraisal's for the	12. James Palmer							
					partners and	Projects not delivered on				Operation Directorate	13. Moira Bruin							
					community leading to	time□				8. Effectively	14. Dave Bill							
					mismanagement of	Collaboration activities					<ol><li>15. Jon Doherty</li></ol>							
					priorities□	resource intensive and					<ol><li>Stuart Antcliff</li></ol>							
					Lack of effective	not proportiReduction in				of key stations	17. Martin Chester							
					performance	Prevention, Protection				9. Risk Based	18. Neil Fenwick							
					management□	and Response activities,					19. Sarah Taylor							
						routine activities					20. Neil Fenwick							
					Poor succession						20. Neil Ferwick							
					planning leading to loss					Assurance								
					of key skills, experience	delayed etc. □				11. Protection								
1				1	and relationships□	Unable to mobilise	j			training and			<u> </u>			- 1	I	
				1	ECFRS too inwardly	Response through the				competence						- 1	I	
				1												- 1	I	
1				1	focused, therefore not	loss of Control □	į l		l	maintaince programme	]		<u> </u>					
1				1	delivering community	Process delays and	j			12. Protection Team			<u> </u>			- 1	I	
1				1	needs□	contact difficulties for	į l		l	Structure and	]		<u> </u>					
				1	Ineffective or	public and colleagues□				resourcing						- 1	I	
1				1	inappropriate	Appliances unavailable,	į l		l	13. Ensure Protection	]		<u> </u>					
1	1		1		collaboration	or a lower level of				function of the Service						ļ	I	1
					activities1.Access to	availability.				is fit for purpose and								
					the workplace. No	Severe interruption to				ready to respond to								
					notice denial of access	departmental service				future challenges and								
					to primary site for an	delivery, appliance				changes								
					indefinite period (e.g.	Maintenance				14. Effective								
					due to severe weather,	Programme if the				business continuity								
					loss of water, disruption	Service Workshops is				plans in place								
					to transport systems	the subject of an				15. Effective physical								
					to transport systems	ino dabjoot or an												
SRR150029		Strategic Risk	15/04/2020	There is a risk that due to the Covid-			Dave Bill	Almost	Critical	25 1. Development of a	1. Dave Bill	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Prevention, Protection	Strategic Risk Register	15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be	□ Large numbers of staff	□ Supporting other	Dave Bill	Almost Certain	Critical	25 1. Development of a Concept of Operations	2. Claire Sanders	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029			15/04/2020		Large numbers of staff being required to self-		Dave Bill		Critical	25 1. Development of a		Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out	Large numbers of staff being required to self-	Supporting other services reduces our	Dave Bill		Critical	2.5 1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business	Claire Sanders     Emily Cheyne	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029			15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	Large numbers of staff being required to self- isolate due to the need	□ Supporting other	Dave Bill		Critical	25 1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Continuity Plans to	Claire Sanders     Emily Cheyne     Karl Edwards	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out	Large numbers of staff being required to self-	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations     2. Business     Continuity Plans to identify single points of	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Continuity Plans to identify single points of failure	2: Claire Sanders 3: Emily Cheyne 4: Karl Edwards 5: Jon Doherty 6: Sheldon Dyer	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	Large numbers of staff being required to self- isolate due to the need for containment of virus Reduced staff levels	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations     2. Business     Continuity Plans to identify single points of failure     3. Communication to	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Continuity Plans to identify single points of failure	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	Large numbers of staff being required to self- isolate due to the need for containment of virus Reduced staff levels	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Continuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	□ Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus □ Reduced staff levels due to caring responsibilities for	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently Stations taken off the	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Contlinuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden 8. Natalie	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	Large numbers of staff being required to self- isolate due to the need for containment of virus Capacity of the containment of containment Reduced staff levels due to caring responsibilities for children or the	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently  Stations taken off the run for cleaning	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Continuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently - planning for ways of	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden 8. Natalie Quickenden	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus Reduced staff levels due to caring responsibilities for children or the vulnerable	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently Stations taken off the run for cleaning Prevention and	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Continuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently planning for ways of working for	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden 8. Natalie Quickenden 9. Terry Povey 9. Terry Povey	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	□ Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus □ Reduced staff levels due to caring responsibilities for children or the vulnerable □ Enforced restrictions on	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently Stations taken off the run for cleaning Prevention and Protection activities are	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Continuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently planning for working for officeWorking	Claire Sanders     Emily Cheyne     Karl Edwards     Jon Doherty     Sheldon Dyer     Natalie     Quickenden     Natalie     Quickenden     Terry Povey     James Palmer	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	□ Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus □ Reduced staff levels due to caring responsibilities for children or the vulnerable □ Enforced restrictions on the movement of	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently  Stations taken off the run for cleaning  Prevention and  Protection activities are substantially reduced	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Continuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently - planning for ways of working for officeWorking Differently	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden 8. Natalie Quickenden 9. Terry Povey 10. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	□ Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus □ Reduced staff levels due to caring responsibilities for children or the vulnerable □ Enforced restrictions on the movement of people and goods □	□ Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond □ Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently □ Stations taken off the run for cleaning □ Prevention and Protection activities are substantially reduced □ Unable to maintain	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Continuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently - planning for ways of working for officeWorking Differently 5. Changes to	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden 8. Natalie Quickenden 9. Terry Povey 10. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards 12. James Palmer	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	□ Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus □ Reduced staff levels due to caring responsibilities for children or the vulnerable □ Enforced restrictions on the movement of	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently  Stations taken off the run for cleaning  Prevention and  Protection activities are substantially reduced	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Continuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently - planning for ways of working for officeWorking Differently	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden 8. Natalie Quickenden 9. Terry Povey 10. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	□ Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus □ Reduced staff levels due to caring responsibilities for children or the vulnerable □ Enforced restrictions on the movement of people and goods □ Unable to obtain	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently Stations taken off the run for cleaning Prevention and Protection activities are substantially reduced Unable to maintain sufficient ICT services to	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Continuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently - planning for ways of working for officeWorking Differently 5. Changes to cleaning regimes	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden 8. Natalie Quickenden 9. Terry Povey 10. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards 12. James Palmer 13. Natalie	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	□ Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus □ Reduced staff levels due to caring responsibilities for children or the vulnerable □ Enforced restrictions on the movement of people and goods □ Unable to obtain necessary supplies due	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently Stations taken off the run for cleaning Prevention and Protection activities are substantially reduced Unable to maintain sufficient ICT services to support working	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Continuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently - planning for ways of working for officeWorking Differently 5. Changes to cleaning regimes 6. Provision of	Claire Sanders     Emily Cheyne     Kan Edwards     Jon Doherty     Sheldon Dyer     Natalie     Quickenden     Natalie     Quickenden     Terry Povey     Jerry Power     Lames Palmer     Natalie     Australie     Jerry Power     Shelder     Shelder	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	□ Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus □ Reduced staff levels due to caring responsibilities for children or the vulnerable □ Enforced restrictions on the movement of people and goods □ Unable to obtain	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently Stations taken off the run for cleaning Prevention and Protection activities are substantially reduced Unable to maintain sufficient ICT services to support working differently □	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Contlinuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently - planning for ways of working for officeWorking Differently 5. Changes to cleaning regimes 6. Provision of adequate PPE and	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden 8. Natalie Quickenden 9. Terry Povey 10. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards 12. James Palmer 13. Natalie Quickenden 14. Nail Fenwick	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	□ Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus □ Reduced staff levels due to caring responsibilities for children or the vulnerable □ Enforced restrictions on the movement of people and goods □ Unable to obtain necessary supplies due to global nature of virus □	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently Stations taken off the run for cleaning Prevention and Protection activities are substantially reduced Unable to maintain sufficient ICT services to support working differently Low numbers of green	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Continuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently - planning for ways of working for officeWorking Differently 5. Changes to cleaning regimes 6. Provision of adequate PPE and cleaning equipment	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden 8. Natalie Quickenden 9. Terry Povey 10. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards 12. James Palmer 13. Natalie Quickenden 14. Neil Fenwick 15. Karl Edwards	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	□ Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus □ Reduced staff levels due to caring responsibilities for children or the vulnerable □ Enforced restrictions on the movement of people and goods □ Unable to obtain necessary supplies due to global nature of virus □ Asymptomatic carriers	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently Stations taken off the run for cleaning Prevention and Protection activities are substantially reduced Unable to maintain sufficient ICT services to support working differently Low numbers of green book staff in the	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Continuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently - planning for ways of working for officeWorking Differently 5. Changes to cleaning regimes 6. Provision of adequate PPE and cleaning equipment 7. Review the	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden 8. Natalie Quickenden 9. Terry Povey 10. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards 12. James Palmer 13. Natalie Quickenden 14. Neil Fenwick 15. Karl Edwards 16. Karl Edwards 16. Karl Edwards 17. Karl Edwards 18. Karl Edwards 18. Karl Edwards 19. Karl Edwards	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	□ Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus □ Reduced staff levels due to caring responsibilities for children or the vulnerable □ Enforced restrictions on the movement of people and goods □ Unable to obtain necessary supplies due to global nature of virus □	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently Stations taken off the run for cleaning Prevention and Protection activities are substantially reduced Unable to maintain sufficient ICT services to support working differently Low numbers of green	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Continuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently - planning for ways of working for officeWorking Differently 5. Changes to cleaning regimes 6. Provision of adequate PPE and cleaning equipment	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden 8. Natalie Quickenden 9. Terry Povey 10. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards 12. James Palmer 13. Natalie Quickenden 14. Neil Fenwick 15. Karl Edwards 16. Karl Edwards 16. Karl Edwards 17. Dave Bill	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus.  Reduced staff levels due to caring responsibilities for children or the vulnerable Enforced restrictions on the movement of people and goods Unable to obtain necessary supplies due to global nature of virus Asymptomatic carriers of virus infect others	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently Stations taken off the run for cleaning Prevention and Protection activities are substantially reduced Unable to maintain sufficient ICT services to support working differently Low numbers of green book staff in the	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Continuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently - planning for ways of working for officeWorking Differently 5. Changes to cleaning regimes 6. Provision of adequate PPE and cleaning equipment 7. Review the	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden 8. Natalie Quickenden 9. Terry Povey 10. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards 12. James Palmer 13. Natalie Quickenden 14. Neil Fenwick 15. Karl Edwards 16. Karl Edwards 16. Karl Edwards 17. Dave Bill	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus Reduced staff levels due to caring responsibilities for children or the vulnerable Finforced restrictions on the movement of people and goods Unable to obtain necessary supplies due to global nature of virus Asymptomatic carriers of virus infect others unknowingly	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently Stations taken off the run for cleaning Prevention and Prevention and Protection activities are substantially reduced Unable to maintain sufficient ICT services to support working differently Low numbers of green book staff in the workplace, due to COVID-19, leading to	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Continuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently - planning for ways of working for officeWorking Differently 5. Changes to cleaning regimes 6. Provision of adequate PPE and cleaning equipment 7. Review the Service's Death in Service Policy	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden 8. Natalie Quickenden 9. Terry Povey 10. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards 12. James Palmer 13. Natalie Quickenden 14. Neil Fenwick 15. Karl Edwards 16. Karl Edwards 16. Karl Edwards 17. Dave Bill 18. Tracy King	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus Reduced staff levels due to aring responsibilities for children or the vulnerable Benforced restrictions on the movement of people and goods Unable to obtain necessary supplies due to global nature of virus Asymptomatic carriers of virus infect others unknowingly	□ Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond □ Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently □ Stations taken off the run for cleaning □ Prevention and Protection activities are substantially reduced □ Unable to maintain sufficient ICT services to support working differently □ Low numbers of green book staff in the workplace, due to COVID-19, leading to key support functions,	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Continuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently - planning for ways of working for officeWorking Differently 5. Changes to cleaning regimes 6. Provision of adequate PPE and cleaning equipment 7. Review the Service's Death in Service's Death in Service relevant	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden 8. Natalie Quickenden 9. Terry Povey 10. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards 12. James Palmer 13. Natalie Quickenden 14. Neil Fenwick 15. Karl Edwards 17. Dave Bill 18. Tracy King 19. Tracy King	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	□ Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus □ Reduced staff levels due to caring responsibilities for children or the vulnerable □ Enforced restrictions on the movement of people and goods □ Unable to obtain necessary supplies due to global nature of virus □ Asymptomatic carriers of virus infect others unknowingly □ Increased volume of calls to Control and	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently Stations taken off the run for cleaning Prevention and Prevention and Unable to maintain sufficient ICT services to support working differently Low numbers of green book staff in the workplace, due to COVID-19, leading to key support Inctions, i.e. payroll not able to be	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Contlinuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently planning for ways of working for officeWorking Differently 5. Changes to cleaning regimes 6. Provision of adequate PPE and cleaning equipment 7. Review the Service's Death in Service Policy 8. Review of relevant people policies and	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden 8. Natalie Quickenden 9. Terry Povey 10. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards 12. James Palmer 13. Natalie Quickenden 4. Neil Fenwick 15. Karl Edwards 16. Karl Edwards 16. Karl Edwards 17. Dave Bill 18. Tracy King 19. Tracy King 19. Tracy King 20. Dave Bill	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	□ Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus □ Reduced staff levels due to caring responsibilities for children or the vulnerable □ Enforced restrictions on the movement of people and goods □ Unable to obtain necessary supplies due to global nature of virus □ Asymptomatic carriers of virus infect others unknowingly □ Increased volume of calls to Control and dwelling fires results in	□ Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond □ Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently □ Stations taken off the run for cleaning □ Prevention and Protection activities are substantially reduced □ Unable to maintain sufficient ICT services to support working differently □ Low numbers of green book staff in the workplace, due to COVID-19, leading to key support functions,	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Continuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently - planning for ways of working for officeWorking Differently 5. Changes to cleaning regimes 6. Provision of adequate PPE and cleaning equipment 7. Review the Service's Death in Service Policy 8. Review of relevant people policies and procedures	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden 8. Natalie Quickenden 9. Terry Povey 10. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards 12. James Palmer 13. Natalie Quickenden 14. Neil Fenwick 15. Karl Edwards 16. Karl Edwards 17. Dave Bill 18. Tracy King 19. Tracy King 19. Tracy King 20. Dave Bill 21. Natalie	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus Reduced staff levels due to asing responsibilities for children or the vulnerable Enforced restrictions on the movement of people and goods Unable to obtain necessary supplies due to global nature of virus Asymptomatic carriers of virus infect others unknowingly Increased volume of calls to Control and dwelling fires results in surge in demand on	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently Stations taken off the run for cleaning Prevention and Prevention and Unable to maintain sufficient ICT services to support working differently Low numbers of green book staff in the workplace, due to COVID-19, leading to key support Inctions, i.e. payroll not able to be	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Contlinuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently - planning for ways of working for officeWorking Differently 5. Changes to cleaning regimes 6. Provision of adequate PPE and cleaning equipment 7. Review the Service's Death in Service's Death in Service's Death in Service Policy 8. Review of relevant people policies and procedures 9. Introduction of	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden 8. Natalie Quickenden 9. Terry Povey 10. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards 12. James Palmer 13. Natalie Quickenden 41. Neil Fenwick 15. Karl Edwards 15. Karl Edwards 17. Dave Bill 18. Tracy King 19. Tracy King 20. Dave Bill 21. Natalie Quickenden	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	□ Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus □ Reduced staff levels due to caring responsibilities for children or the vulnerable □ Enforced restrictions on the movement of people and goods □ Unable to obtain necessary supplies due to global nature of virus □ Asymptomatic carriers of virus infect others unknowingly □ Increased volume of calls to Control and dwelling fires results in surge in demand on depleted work force. □	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently Stations taken off the run for cleaning Prevention and Prevention and Unable to maintain sufficient ICT services to support working differently Low numbers of green book staff in the workplace, due to COVID-19, leading to key support Inctions, i.e. payroll not able to be	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Continuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently planning for ways of working for officeWorking Differently 5. Changes to cleaning regimes 6. Provision of adequate PPE and cleaning equipment 7. Review the Service's Death in Service Policy 8. Review of relevant people policies and procedures 9. Introduction of additional Operational	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden 8. Natalie Quickenden 9. Terry Povey 10. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards 12. James Palmer 13. Natalie Quickenden 14. Neil Fenwick 15. Karl Edwards 16. Karl Edwards 17. Dave Bill 18. Tracy King 19. Tracy King 20. Dave Bill 21. Natalie Quickenden	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus Reduced staff levels due to asing responsibilities for children or the vulnerable Enforced restrictions on the movement of people and goods Unable to obtain necessary supplies due to global nature of virus Asymptomatic carriers of virus infect others unknowingly Increased volume of calls to Control and dwelling fires results in surge in demand on	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently Stations taken off the run for cleaning Prevention and Prevention and Unable to maintain sufficient ICT services to support working differently Low numbers of green book staff in the workplace, due to COVID-19, leading to key support Inctions, i.e. payroll not able to be	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Contlinuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently - planning for ways of working for officeWorking Differently 5. Changes to cleaning regimes 6. Provision of adequate PPE and cleaning equipment 7. Review the Service's Death in Service's Death in Service's Death in Service Policy 8. Review of relevant people policies and procedures 9. Introduction of	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden 8. Natalie Quickenden 9. Terry Povey 10. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards 12. James Palmer 13. Natalie Quickenden 41. Neil Fenwick 15. Karl Edwards 15. Karl Edwards 17. Dave Bill 18. Tracy King 19. Tracy King 20. Dave Bill 21. Natalie Quickenden	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	□ Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus □ Reduced staff levels due to caring responsibilities for children or the vulnerable □ Enforced restrictions on the movement of people and goods □ Unable to obtain necessary supplies due to global nature of virus □ Asymptomatic carriers of virus infect others unknowingly □ Increased volume of calls to Control and dwelling fires results in surge in demand on depleted work force. □ Long-term nature	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently Stations taken off the run for cleaning Prevention and Prevention and Unable to maintain sufficient ICT services to support working differently Low numbers of green book staff in the workplace, due to COVID-19, leading to key support Inctions, i.e. payroll not able to be	Dave Bill		Critical	2.5 1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Continuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently - planning for ways of working for officeWorking Differently 5. Changes to cleaning regimes 6. Provision of adequate PPE and cleaning equipment 7. Review the Service's Death in Service Policy 8. Review of relevant people policies and procedures 9. Introduction of additional Operational Guidance	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden 8. Natalie Quickenden 9. Terry Povey 10. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards 12. James Palmer 13. Natalie Quickenden 14. Neil Fenwick 15. Karl Edwards 16. Karl Edwards 17. Dave Bill 18. Tracy King 19. Tracy King 19. Tracy King 19. Tracy King 20. Dave Bill 21. Natalie Quickenden 21. Natalie Quickenden 22. Tracy King 23. lan Adams	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	□ Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus □ Reduced staff levels due to caring responsibilities for children or the vulnerable □ Enforced restrictions on the movement of people and goods □ Unable to obtain necessary supplies due to global nature of virus □ Asymptomatic carriers of virus infect others unknowingly □ Increased volume of calls to Control and dwelling fires results in surge in demand on depleted work force. □ Long-term nature results in the	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently Stations taken off the run for cleaning Prevention and Prevention and Unable to maintain sufficient ICT services to support working differently Low numbers of green book staff in the workplace, due to COVID-19, leading to key support Inctions, i.e. payroll not able to be	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Contlinuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently - planning for ways of working for officeWorking Differently 5. Changes to cleaning regimes 6. Provision of adequate PPE and cleaning equipment 7. Review the Service's Death in Service's Death in Service Policy 8. Review of relevant people policies and procedures 9. Introduction of additional Operational Guidance 10. Prioritisation of	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden 8. Natalie Quickenden 9. Terry Povey 10. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards 12. James Palmer 13. Natalie Quickenden 4. Neil Fenwick 15. Karl Edwards 16. Karl Edwards 17. Dave Bill 18. Tracy King 19. Tracy King 20. Dave Bill 21. Natalie Quickenden 22. Tracy King 20. Lander 22. Tracy King 23. Lan Adams 24. Lan Adams 24. Lan Adams	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	□ Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus □ Reduced staff levels due to caring responsibilities for children or the vulnerable □ Enforced restrictions on the movement of people and goods □ Unable to obtain necessary supplies due to global nature of virus □ Asymptomatic carriers of virus infect others unknowingly □ Increased volume of calls to Control and dwelling fires results in surge in demand on depleted work force. □ Long-term nature results in the suspension or delay of	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently Stations taken off the run for cleaning Prevention and Prevention and Unable to maintain sufficient ICT services to support working differently Low numbers of green book staff in the workplace, due to COVID-19, leading to key support Inctions, i.e. payroll not able to be	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Continuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently - planning for ways of working for officeWorking Differently 5. Changes to cleaning regimes 6. Provision of adequate PPE and cleaning equipment 7. Review the Service's Death in Service Policy 8. Review of relevant people policies and procedures 9. Introduction of additional Operational Guidance 10. Prioritisation of risk critical and	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden 8. Natalie Quickenden 9. Terry Povey 10. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards 12. James Palmer 13. Natalie Quickenden 14. Neil Fenwick 15. Karl Edwards 16. Karl Edwards 17. Dave Bill 18. Tracy King 19. Tracy King 19. Tracy King 19. Tracy King 20. Dave Bill 21. Natalie Quickenden 22. Tracy King 23. lan Adams 24. lan Adams 24. lan Adams 24. lan Adams	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	□ Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus □ Reduced staff levels due to aring responsibilities for children or the vulnerable □ Enforced restrictions on the movement of people and goods □ Unable to obtain necessary supplies due to global nature of virus □ Asymptomatic carriers of virus infect others unknowingly □ Increased volume of calls to Control and dwelling fires results in surge in demand on depleted work force. □ Long-term nature results in the suspension or delay of programmes and	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently Stations taken off the run for cleaning Prevention and Prevention and Unable to maintain sufficient ICT services to support working differently Low numbers of green book staff in the workplace, due to COVID-19, leading to key support Inctions, i.e. payroll not able to be	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Contlinuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently - planning for ways of working for officeWorking Differently 5. Changes to cleaning regimes 6. Provision of adequate PPE and cleaning equipment 7. Review the Service's Death in Service Policy 8. Review of relevant people policies and procedures 9. Introduction of additional Operational Guidance 10. Prioritisation of risk critical and statutory duty activities	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden 8. Natalie Quickenden 9. Terry Povey 10. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards 12. James Palmer 13. Natalie Quickenden 4. Neil Fenwick 15. Karl Edwards 15. Karl Edwards 17. Dave Bill 18. Tracy King 19. Tracy King 20. Dave Bill 21. Natalie Quickenden 22. Tracy King 20. Dave Bill 21. Natalie Quickenden 22. Tracy King 32. Ian Adams 24. Ian Adams 25. Dave Bill 25. Danve Bill 26. Danve Bill 27. Tacy King 27. Tacy King 28. Tacy King 29. Tacy King 29. Tacy King 20. Dave Bill 21. Natalie Quickenden 22. Tracy King 23. Ian Adams 24. Ian Adams 25. Dave Bill 26. Danny Bruin	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	□ Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus □ Reduced staff levels due to caring responsibilities for children or the vulnerable □ Enforced restrictions on the movement of people and goods □ Unable to obtain necessary supplies due to global nature of virus □ Asymptomatic carriers of virus infect others unknowingly □ Increased volume of calls to Control and dwelling fires results in surge in demand on depleted work force. □ Long-term nature results in the suspension or delay of programmes and projects vital to the	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently Stations taken off the run for cleaning Prevention and Prevention and Unable to maintain sufficient ICT services to support working differently Low numbers of green book staff in the workplace, due to COVID-19, leading to key support Inctions, i.e. payroll not able to be	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Contlinuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently - planning for ways of working for officeWorking Differently 5. Changes to cleaning regimes 6. Provision of adequate PPE and cleaning equipment 7. Review the Service's Death in Service Policy 8. Review of relevant people policies and procedures 9. Introduction of additional Operational Guidance 10. Prioritisation of risk critical and statutory duty activities 11. Use of technology	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden 8. Natalie Quickenden 9. Terry Povey 10. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards 12. James Palmer 13. Natalie Quickenden 4. Neil Fenwick 15. Karl Edwards 16. Karl Edwards 17. Dave Bill 18. Tracy King 19. Tracy King 19. Tracy King 20. Dave Bill 21. Natalie Quickenden 22. Tracy King 23. lan Adams 25. Dave Bill 26. Danny Bruin 27. James Palmer	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus Reduced staff levels due to ating responsibilities for children or the vulnerable Bender Staff levels due to acring the self-isolate staff levels due to acring responsibilities for children or the vulnerable Enforced restrictions on the movement of people and goods Unable to obtain necessary supplies due to global nature of virus Raymptomatic carriers of virus infect others unknowingly Increased volume of calls to Control and dwelling fires results in surge in demand on depleted work force. Long-term nature results in the suspension or delay of programmes and	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently Stations taken off the run for cleaning Prevention and Prevention and Unable to maintain sufficient ICT services to support working differently Low numbers of green book staff in the workplace, due to COVID-19, leading to key support Inctions, i.e. payroll not able to be	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Contlinuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently - planning for ways of working for officeWorking Differently 5. Changes to cleaning regimes 6. Provision of adequate PPE and cleaning equipment 7. Review the Service's Death in Service Policy 8. Review of relevant people policies and procedures 9. Introduction of additional Operational Guidance 10. Prioritisation of risk critical and statutory duty activities	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden 8. Natalie Quickenden 9. Terry Povey 10. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards 12. James Palmer 13. Natalie Quickenden 4. Neil Fenwick 15. Karl Edwards 15. Karl Edwards 17. Dave Bill 18. Tracy King 19. Tracy King 20. Dave Bill 21. Natalie Quickenden 22. Tracy King 20. Dave Bill 21. Natalie Quickenden 22. Tracy King 32. Ian Adams 24. Ian Adams 25. Dave Bill 25. Danve Bill 26. Danve Bill 27. Tacy King 27. Tacy King 28. Tacy King 29. Tacy King 29. Tacy King 20. Dave Bill 21. Natalie Quickenden 22. Tracy King 23. Ian Adams 24. Ian Adams 25. Dave Bill 26. Danny Bruin	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	□ Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus □ Reduced staff levels due to caring responsibilities for children or the vulnerable □ Enforced restrictions on the movement of people and goods □ Unable to obtain necessary supplies due to global nature of virus □ Asymptomatic carriers of virus infect others unknowingly □ Increased volume of calls to Control and dwelling fires results in surge in demand on depleted work force. □ Long-term nature results in the suspension or delay of programmes and projects vital to the	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently Stations taken off the run for cleaning Prevention and Prevention and Unable to maintain sufficient ICT services to support working differently Low numbers of green book staff in the workplace, due to COVID-19, leading to key support Inctions, i.e. payroll not able to be	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Contlinuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently - planning for ways of working for officeWorking Differently 5. Changes to cleaning regimes 6. Provision of adequate PPE and cleaning equipment 7. Review the Service's Death in Service Policy 8. Review of relevant people policies and procedures 9. Introduction of additional Operational Guidance 10. Prioritisation of risk critical and statutory duty activities 11. Use of technology	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden 8. Natalie Quickenden 9. Terry Povey 10. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards 12. James Palmer 13. Natalie Quickenden 4. Neil Fenwick 15. Karl Edwards 16. Karl Edwards 17. Dave Bill 18. Tracy King 19. Tracy King 19. Tracy King 20. Dave Bill 21. Natalie Quickenden 22. Tracy King 23. lan Adams 25. Dave Bill 26. Danny Bruin 27. James Palmer	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	□ Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus □ Reduced staff levels due to caring responsibilities for children or the vulnerable □ Enforced restrictions on the movement of people and goods □ Unable to obtain necessary supplies due to global nature of virus □ Asymptomatic carriers of virus infect others unknowingly □ Increased volume of calls to Control and dwelling fires results in surge in demand on depleted work force. Long-term nature results in the suspension or delay of programmes and projects vital to the delivery of critical	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently Stations taken off the run for cleaning Prevention and Prevention and Unable to maintain sufficient ICT services to support working differently Low numbers of green book staff in the workplace, due to COVID-19, leading to key support Inctions, i.e. payroll not able to be	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Contlinuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently - planning for ways of working for officeWorking Differently 5. Changes to cleaning regimes 6. Provision of adequate PPE and cleaning equipment 7. Review the Service's Death in Service Policy 8. Review of relevant people policies and procedures 9. Introduction of additional Operational Guidance 10. Prioritisation of risk critical and statutory duty activities 11. Use of technology to reduce physical contact	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden 8. Natalie Quickenden 9. Terry Povey 10. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards 12. James Palmer 13. Natalie Quickenden 14. Neil Fenwick 15. Karl Edwards 15. Karl Edwards 15. Karl Edwards 17. Dave Bill 18. Tracy King 20. Dave Bill 21. Natalie Quickenden 22. Tracy King 23. lan Adams 24. lan Adams 25. Dave Bill 26. Danny Bruin 27. James Palmer 28. Tracy King	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	□ Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus □ Reduced staff levels due to caring responsibilities for children or the vulnerable □ Enforced restrictions on the movement of people and goods □ Unable to obtain necessary supplies due to global nature of virus □ Asymptomatic carriers of virus infect others unknowingly □ Increased volume of calls to Control and dwelling fires results in surge in demand on depleted work force. Long-term nature results in the suspension or delay of programmes and projects vital to the delivery of critical	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently Stations taken off the run for cleaning Prevention and Prevention and Unable to maintain sufficient ICT services to support working differently Low numbers of green book staff in the workplace, due to COVID-19, leading to key support Inctions, i.e. payroll not able to be	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Contlinuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently planning for ways of working for officeWorking Differently 5. Changes to cleaning regimes 6. Provision of adequate PPE and cleaning equipment 7. Review the Service's Death in Service Policy 8. Review of relevant people policies and procedures 9. Introduction of additional Operational Guidance 10. Prioritisation of risk critical and statutory duty activities 11. Use of technology to reduce physical contact 12. Establishment of	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden 8. Natalie Quickenden 9. Terry Povey 10. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards 12. James Palmer 13. Natalie Quickenden 14. Neil Fenwick 15. Karl Edwards 16. Karl Edwards 16. Karl Edwards 17. Dave Bill 18. Tracy King 19. Tracy King 19. Tracy King 19. Tracy King 20. Dave Bill 21. Natalie Quickenden 22. Tracy King 23. lan Adams 25. Dave Bill 26. Danny Bruin 27. James Palmer 28. Tracy King 29. Dave Bill 29. Dave Bill 20. Tracy King 20. Barl Adams 21. Tracy King 22. Tracy King 23. Lan Adams 24. Lan Adams 25. Dave Bill 26. Danny Bruin 27. James Palmer 28. Tracy King 29. Dave Bill	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	□ Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus □ Reduced staff levels due to caring responsibilities for children or the vulnerable □ Enforced restrictions on the movement of people and goods □ Unable to obtain necessary supplies due to global nature of virus □ Asymptomatic carriers of virus infect others unknowingly □ Increased volume of calls to Control and dwelling fires results in surge in demand on depleted work force. Long-term nature results in the suspension or delay of programmes and projects vital to the delivery of critical	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently Stations taken off the run for cleaning Prevention and Prevention and Unable to maintain sufficient ICT services to support working differently Low numbers of green book staff in the workplace, due to COVID-19, leading to key support Inctions, i.e. payroll not able to be	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Continuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently - planning for ways of working for officeWorking Differently 5. Changes to cleaning regimes 6. Provision of adequate PPE and cleaning equipment 7. Review the Service's Death in Service Policy 8. Review of relevant people policies and procedures 9. Introduction of additional Operational Guidance 10. Prioritisation of risk critical and statutory duty activities 11. Use of technology to reduce physical contact 12. Establishment of a Prevention and	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden 8. Natalie Quickenden 9. Terry Povey 10. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards 12. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards 12. James Palmer 14. Neil Fenwick 15. Karl Edwards 16. Karl Edwards 17. Dave Bill 18. Tracy King 19. Tracy King 19. Tracy King 20. Dave Bill 21. Natalie Quickenden 22. Tracy King 23. lan Adams 24. lan Adams 24. lan Adams 25. Dave Bill 26. Danny Bruin 27. James Palmer 28. Tracy King 29. Dave Bill 28. Tracy King 29. Dave Bill 29. Dave Bill 30. Dave Bill 31. Neil Fenwick	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	□ Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus □ Reduced staff levels due to caring responsibilities for children or the vulnerable □ Enforced restrictions on the movement of people and goods □ Unable to obtain necessary supplies due to global nature of virus □ Asymptomatic carriers of virus infect others unknowingly □ Increased volume of calls to Control and dwelling fires results in surge in demand on depleted work force. Long-term nature results in the suspension or delay of programmes and projects vital to the delivery of critical	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently Stations taken off the run for cleaning Prevention and Prevention and Unable to maintain sufficient ICT services to support working differently Low numbers of green book staff in the workplace, due to COVID-19, leading to key support Inctions, i.e. payroll not able to be	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Contlinuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently planning for ways of working for officeWorking Differently 5. Changes to cleaning regimes 6. Provision of adequate PPE and cleaning equipment 7. Review the Service's Death in Service Policy 8. Review of relevant people policies and procedures 9. Introduction of additional Operational Guidance 10. Prioritisation of risk critical and statutory duty activities 11. Use of technology to reduce physical contact 12. Establishment of a Prevention and Protection Subgroup of	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden 8. Natalie Quickenden 9. Terry Povey 10. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards 12. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards 12. James Palmer 14. Neil Fenwick 15. Karl Edwards 16. Karl Edwards 17. Dave Bill 18. Tracy King 19. Tracy King 19. Tracy King 20. Dave Bill 21. Natalie Quickenden 22. Tracy King 23. lan Adams 24. lan Adams 24. lan Adams 25. Dave Bill 26. Danny Bruin 27. James Palmer 28. Tracy King 29. Dave Bill 28. Tracy King 29. Dave Bill 29. Dave Bill 30. Dave Bill 31. Neil Fenwick	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	□ Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus □ Reduced staff levels due to caring responsibilities for children or the vulnerable □ Enforced restrictions on the movement of people and goods □ Unable to obtain necessary supplies due to global nature of virus □ Asymptomatic carriers of virus infect others unknowingly □ Increased volume of calls to Control and dwelling fires results in surge in demand on depleted work force. Long-term nature results in the suspension or delay of programmes and projects vital to the delivery of critical	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently Stations taken off the run for cleaning Prevention and Prevention and Unable to maintain sufficient ICT services to support working differently Low numbers of green book staff in the workplace, due to COVID-19, leading to key support Inctions, i.e. payroll not able to be	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Continuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently planning for ways of working for officeWorking Differently 5. Changes to cleaning regimes 6. Provision of adequate PPE and cleaning equipment 7. Review the Service's Death in Service Policy 8. Review of relevant people policies and procedures 9. Introduction of additional Operational Guidance 10. Prioritisation of risk critical and statutory duty activities 11. Use of technology to reduce physical contact 12. Establishment of a Prevention and Protection Subgroup of CIT	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden 8. Natalie Quickenden 9. Terry Povey 10. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards 12. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards 12. James Palmer 14. Neil Fenwick 15. Karl Edwards 16. Karl Edwards 17. Dave Bill 18. Tracy King 19. Tracy King 19. Tracy King 20. Dave Bill 21. Natalie Quickenden 22. Tracy King 23. lan Adams 24. lan Adams 24. lan Adams 25. Dave Bill 26. Danny Bruin 27. James Palmer 28. Tracy King 29. Dave Bill 28. Tracy King 29. Dave Bill 29. Dave Bill 30. Dave Bill 31. Neil Fenwick	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	□ Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus □ Reduced staff levels due to caring responsibilities for children or the vulnerable □ Enforced restrictions on the movement of people and goods □ Unable to obtain necessary supplies due to global nature of virus □ Asymptomatic carriers of virus infect others unknowingly □ Increased volume of calls to Control and dwelling fires results in surge in demand on depleted work force. Long-term nature results in the suspension or delay of programmes and projects vital to the delivery of critical	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently Stations taken off the run for cleaning Prevention and Prevention and Unable to maintain sufficient ICT services to support working differently Low numbers of green book staff in the workplace, due to COVID-19, leading to key support Inctions, i.e. payroll not able to be	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Continuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently planning for ways of working for officeWorking Differently 5. Changes to cleaning regimes 6. Provision of adequate PPE and cleaning equipment 7. Review the Service's Death in Service Policy 8. Review of relevant people policies and procedures 9. Introduction of additional Operational Guidance 10. Prioritisation of risk critical and statutory duty activities 11. Use of technology to reduce physical contact 12. Establishment of a Prevention and Protection Subgroup of CIT	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden 8. Natalie Quickenden 9. Terry Povey 10. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards 12. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards 12. James Palmer 14. Neil Fenwick 15. Karl Edwards 16. Karl Edwards 17. Dave Bill 18. Tracy King 19. Tracy King 19. Tracy King 20. Dave Bill 21. Natalie Quickenden 22. Tracy King 23. lan Adams 24. lan Adams 24. lan Adams 25. Dave Bill 26. Danny Bruin 27. James Palmer 28. Tracy King 29. Dave Bill 28. Tracy King 29. Dave Bill 29. Dave Bill 30. Dave Bill 31. Neil Fenwick	Unlikely	Major	8	6			
SRR150029	Protection &		15/04/2020	19 Pandemic, the service will not be able to deliver the objectives set out in the Fire and Rescue Plan, IRMP	□ Large numbers of staff being required to self-isolate due to the need for containment of virus □ Reduced staff levels due to caring responsibilities for children or the vulnerable □ Enforced restrictions on the movement of people and goods □ Unable to obtain necessary supplies due to global nature of virus □ Asymptomatic carriers of virus infect others unknowingly □ Increased volume of calls to Control and dwelling fires results in surge in demand on depleted work force. Long-term nature results in the suspension or delay of programmes and projects vital to the delivery of critical	Supporting other services reduces our own capacity to respond Unable to crew adequate numbers on appliances sufficiently Stations taken off the run for cleaning Prevention and Prevention and Unable to maintain sufficient ICT services to support working differently Low numbers of green book staff in the workplace, due to COVID-19, leading to key support Inctions, i.e. payroll not able to be	Dave Bill		Critical	1. Development of a Concept of Operations 2. Business Contlinuity Plans to identify single points of failure 3. Communication to all Stakeholders 4. Working Differently planning for ways of working for officeWorking Differently 5. Changes to cleaning regimes 6. Provision of adequate PPE and cleaning equipment 7. Review the Service's Death in Service Policy 8. Review of relevant people policies and procedures 9. Introduction of additional Operational Guidance 10. Prioritisation of risk critical and statutory duty activities 11. Use of technology to reduce physical contact 12. Establishment of a Prevention and Protection Subgroup of	2. Claire Sanders 3. Emily Cheyne 4. Karl Edwards 5. Jon Doherty 6. Sheldon Dyer 7. Natalie Quickenden 8. Natalie Quickenden 9. Terry Povey 10. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards 12. James Palmer 11. Karl Edwards 12. James Palmer 14. Neil Fenwick 15. Karl Edwards 16. Karl Edwards 17. Dave Bill 18. Tracy King 19. Tracy King 19. Tracy King 20. Dave Bill 21. Natalie Quickenden 22. Tracy King 23. lan Adams 24. lan Adams 24. lan Adams 25. Dave Bill 26. Danny Bruin 27. James Palmer 28. Tracy King 29. Dave Bill 28. Tracy King 29. Dave Bill 29. Dave Bill 30. Dave Bill 31. Neil Fenwick	Unlikely	Major	8	6			

SRR150031	Legal	Strategic Risk	22/01/2021	There is a risk that without effective	Human Error □	Data loss or theft of	Karl Edwards				0	0		
	Compliance	Register		Cyber Security measures in place,	Lack of Expertise	sensitive or personal								
				we will be vulnerable to an attack	Inadequate funding	information□								
				causing harm or loss.	Legacy Systems □	Blackmail or bullying of								
					Lack of policies and	staff due to personal								
					procedures	data leaks								
					Lack of SLT over-sight	Extensive Fines								
					and involvement in	Unable to use systems								
					technological									
					innovation and	Critical activity ceases								
					implementation.	or is hampered due to								
						compromised								
					and reporting of	technology								
					incidents to SLT□									
					Cultural issues									
					Unhappy employees□									
					Failure to carry out									
					adequate checks on									