

PFCC Decision Report

Please ensure all sections below are completed

<p>Report reference number: 108/20</p>
<p>Classification Not protectively marked</p>
<p>Title of report: Anglia Ruskin research project – SETDA partnership</p>
<p>Area of County/Stakeholders affected: Countywide</p>
<p>Report by: Greg Myddelton</p> <p>Date of report: 8th September 2020</p> <p>Enquiries to: greg.myddelton@essex.police.uk</p>

1. Purpose of report

- 1.1. To seek approval for the allocation of £13,038 to Anglia Ruskin University to deliver a research project into the strength and value of the Southend, Essex and Thurrock Domestic Abuse (SETDA) partnership.

2. Recommendations

- 2.1. Approve the allocation of £13,038 to Anglia Ruskin University for the completion of a research project into the SETDA partnership.

3. Benefits of Proposal

- 3.1. ARU has been commissioned to deliver an evaluation into the effectiveness and value of the partnership element of the 2015 – 2020 Joint Commissioning Strategy for Domestic Abuse.
- 3.2. The aims of this evaluation are to assess the extent and quality of the partnerships formed between the organisations involved in the Essex Domestic Abuse Strategy (EDAS). ARU will focus on partnership working at two levels:
- 1) the contribution of, and benefits for, each partner (the sum);
 - 2) the impact of working together overall (the whole). The findings from the evaluation can be used to:
 - Help understand what's working well and what isn't within the partnership
 - Fine-tune the way the partnership works together
 - Showcase the impact of the partnership to others internally and externally

4. Background and proposal

- 4.1. This project was initiated by the Essex Domestic Abuse Strategic Board on behalf of partner agencies operating across Essex, Thurrock and Southend. The

intention of the research is to improve partnership working, collaboration and delivery of the new 2020-25 SET DA strategy.

4.2. The project will be initiated immediately, commencing in October 2020 and take around 6 months.

4.3. This project benefits from £10,619 of match-funding from SETDAB partners. The PFCC will contribute £2,419 of the costs.

5. Alternative options considered and rejected

5.1. The Partnership has the option not to undertake this research, but it is felt that this project will inform the delivery of the new partnership strategy and identify any areas of strength or development for the partnership.

6. Police and Crime Plan

6.1. Breaking the cycle of domestic abuse is a key priority within the PFCC's police and crime plan.

7. Police Operational Implications

7.1. No direct operational impact of this funding.

8. Financial Implications

8.1. The PFCC will allocate a one-off payment of £13,038 from the 2020-21 Community Safety Fund to Anglia Ruskin University. Contributions to this project are made from;

Organisation	Contribution
Essex County Council	£2,400
Southend-on-Sea Borough Council	£2,400
Essex Police	£2,400
SETDAB partnership	£2,419
PFCC	£2,419
National Probation Service	£1,000
	£13,038

8.2. The PFCC will invoice partners as per the table above.

9. Legal Implications

9.1 This grant will be subject to the PFCC's standard grant agreement terms.

10. Staffing and other resource implications

10.1. No staffing implications of this decision.

11. Equality and Diversity implications

11.1. No direct equality and diversity implications.

12. Risks

12.1. No risks associated with this project.

13. Governance Boards

13.1. This decision was proposed at the SETDA Board in late 2019 and confirmed in August 2020. The Deputy PFCC Chairs that partnership Board.

14. Background papers

None.

Report Approval

The report will be signed off by the OPFCC Chief Executive and Treasurer, prior to review and sign off by the PFCC / DPFCC .

Deputy M.O

Sign:

Print: Darren Horsman

Date: 15 March 2021

Chief Financial Officer/Treasurer

Sign:

Print: Julia Berry

Date: 16 March 2021

Publication

Is the report for publication?

YES

NO

If 'NO', please give reasons for non-publication (state 'None' if applicable)

.....
.....
If the report is not for publication, the Chief Executive will decide if and how the public can be informed of the decision.

Redaction

If the report is for publication, is redaction required:

1. Of Decision Sheet

YES

2. Of Appendix

YES

NO

NO

If 'YES', please provide details of required redaction:

Date redaction carried out:

Treasurer / Chief Executive Sign Off – for Redactions only

If redaction is required, Treasurer or Chief Executive are to sign off that redaction has been completed.

Sign:


Print:

Chief Executive/Treasurer

Date signed:

Decision and Final Sign Off

I agree the recommendations to this report;

Sign: 

Print: Roger Hirst

PFCC

Date signed: 16 March 2021

I do not agree the recommendations to this report because;

.....
.....
.....

Sign:

Print:

PFCC/Deputy PFCC

Date signed: