

PFCC Decision Report

Please ensure all sections below are completed

Report reference number: 051/20

Classification Not protectively marked

Title of report: CSDF media support 2020-21

Area of County/Stakeholders affected:

Countywide

Report by: Greg Myddelton

Date of report: 9 April 2020

Enquiries to: greg.myddelton@essex.police.uk

1. Purpose of report

1.1. To seek approval for the allocation of up to £10,000 in the form of a crime and disorder reduction grant from the 2020-21 Community Safety Development Fund to *Making Words Work!* to deliver communication and media material on successful CSDF projects.

2. Recommendations

2.1. Approve the allocation of a Community Safety Development Fund grant of up to £10,000 to *Making Words Work!* to deliver communication and media material on successful CSDF projects.

3. Benefits of Proposal

3.1. The PFCC's Community Safety Development Fund is a fund used to allocate small grants to local community projects that support the priorities set out in the Police and Crime Plan. Utilising a copywriter to provide engaging case studies about successful projects will provide valuable publicity to grant recipients and highlight the work of the PFCC and its grant-making.

- 3.2. Transparency is a key ethos for the PFCC. Whilst the PFCC publishes all decisions, the proposed use of a copywriter will allow us to demonstrate the impact and outcomes of projects that are funded in an accessible way.
- 3.3. The stories also help to generate further applications and publicity to the fund, encouraging other community projects to apply.

4. Background and proposal

- 4.1. The CSDF supports local voluntary and community safety groups to deliver effective approaches to preventing and tackling crime and anti-social behaviour in Essex.
- 4.2. Projects will be approached to see if their work is suitable for a case study and to ensure they are happy to be involved in any media coverage.

5. Alternative options considered and rejected

5.1. The PFCC has the option not to publicise the work of CSDF grant recipients, but it is considered that this investment provides value to successful CSDF projects; improving their profile and possibly increasing the likelihood of them attracting more participants, volunteers, media coverage, or funding. The allocation also improves awareness of how the PFCC utilises grant funds to support the objectives identified in the Police and Crime Plan.

6. Police and Crime Plan

6.1. All decisions taken by the PFCC are open and transparent. Using a copywriter to document the work of CSDF grant recipients will allow the PFCC to continue to provide further transparency to the decisions that have been made by sharing details of funded programmes which support Police and Crime Plan priorities.

7. Police Operational Implications

7.1. No police operational implications

8. Financial Implications

8.1. The PFCC will allocate a one-off grant of up to £10,000 from the 2020-21 Community Safety Development Fund to *Making Words Work!*

9. Legal Implications

9.1. The grant will be subject to the PFCC's standard funding agreement

10. Staffing and other resource implications

10.1. This project involves a copywriter delivering individual case studies. There are no staffing implications or obligations placed on the PFCC as a result of this grant.

11. Equality and Diversity implications

11.1. Case studies will be identified and selected on a case-by-case basis depending on their purpose and suitability. As such it is not considered that there are any equality and diversity implications for this grant funding.

12. Risks

12.1. No risks associated with this funding.

13. Governance Boards

13.1. This proposal has been approved in principle by the Deputy PFCC.

Report Approval

review and sign off by the	PFCC / DPFCC .		
Chief Executive / M.O.	Sign:	helps	
	Print: P.	Brent-Isherwood	
	Date: 19	June 2020	
Chief Finance Officer / Ti	reasurer Sign:	Eheli	人
	Print: Eliz	zabeth Helm	
	Date: 23	June 2020	
<u>Publication</u>			
Is the report for publication?		YES 🗸	
		NO	
If 'NO', please give reas	ons for non-publica	tion (state 'None' if a	applicable)
	N/A		
If the report is not for pub can be informed of the de		ecutive will decide if a	and how the public
Redaction			
If the report is for publi	cation, is redaction	required:	
1. Of Decision Sheet	YES	2. Of Appendix	YES
	NO 🗸		NO
If 'YES', please provide	details of required r	edaction:	
	N/A		
Date redaction carried	out:		

The report will be signed off by the OPFCC Chief Executive and Treasurer, prior to

Treasurer / Chief Executive Sign Off – for Redactions only			
If redaction is required, Treasurer or Chief Executive are to sign off that redaction has been completed. Sign:			
Print:			
Chief Executive/Treasurer			
Date signed:			
Decision and Final Sign Off			
I agree the recommendations to this report;			
Sign:			
Print: Jane Gardner			
Deputy PFCC			
Date signed: 29 June 2020			
I do not agree the recommendations to this report because;			
Sign:			
Print:			
PFCC/Deputy PFCC			
Date signed:			