

PFCC Decision Report

Please ensure all sections below are completed

Report reference number: 140-19

Classification Official Sensitive

Title of report: OPC Specialist Operations Marine Unit Rigid Hulled Inflatable Boat

refit

Area of county / stakeholders affected: OPC Specialist Operations

Report by : Insp Dickel/Cl Egan

Date of report: 13th July 2019

Enquiries to: CI Cornish /Supt Ditchburn/Transport services

1. Purpose of the report

This report outlines the case to fully refit the current Essex Police Marine Unit Rigid Hulled Inflatable Boat (RHIB).

2. Recommendations

That the proposal is supported .The RHIB has a lifespan of 12 years and was purchased in 2005 and has now been in constant service for 14 years .This issue features on the OPC risk register URN 1799

3. Benefits of the proposal

The RHIB is used for regular patrols of the coastline and is Essex Police's only fast boat capability. It provides key engagement and visibility within the marine and some of the rural community and gives focus on reducing Anti-social behaviour, thefts and dangerous marine driving. The RHIB enables Essex Police to cover more of the coast, maximising the time on the water. Due to the age of the vessel the maintenance hours are increasing and the Essex Police marine technician has seen a rise in the maintenance requirement over the last 24 months. He has estimated that the RHIB could be subject to an uneconomical failure over the next year (Currently in excess of £400,000). This refurbishment option will extend the life of the RHIB for a further ten

[NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED]

years. Every five years the recommendation is that these RHIBS are stripped and rebuilt so as to check the structural integrity of the hull and inflatable collar at a cost of approximately £70 - 90K) so this refit is overdue.

The marine codes require annual pressure testing of inflatable parts of the boat, as well as a five year full survey which is due in February 2020 . This is a critical survey of the vessel, which includes looking in depth at the structural integrity of the vessel's fibreglass hull, inflatable collar, and mechanical/electrical equipment.

4. Background and proposal

As above and detailed on the attached detailed investment Stage 2 bid,

5. Alternative options considered and rejected

The other options are purchasing a new vessel, estimated at £400,000, or not replacing the RHIB and reducing the marine fleet to the Alert, which is the other vessel, and not a fast boat capability

6. Police and Crime Plan

More local, visible and accessible Policing

Crack down on anti-social behaviour on the water

Tackling gangs and organised crime

Protecting children and vulnerable people

Ensures the Force maintains the capability to the National Threat of Terrorism by providing the means to deter criminality and patrol the coast.

7. Police operational implications

As detailed in the Stage 2 capital bid – this is being requested by Operational Policing Command for longevity of fleet

8. Financial implications

See Section 14A in the Stage 2 Capital bid and the breakdown from Management Accountant Colin Cooper

9. Legal implications

None identified

10. Staffing implications

None identified- this will support both OPC and the wider police and partners (Border Force, NCA, Kent and MPS)

11. Equality and Diversity implications

None identified

12. Risks

As detailed in Section 7 of the Stage 2 capital bid

13. Governance Boards

Agreed at Stage 1 and Stage 2 of the investment business case stage by PFCC and Essex Chief officer team respectively

14. Background papers



Report Approval

The report will be signed off by the OPFCC Chief Executive and	Treasurer	prior to
review and sign off by the PFCC / DPFCC.		er.

Chief Executive / M.O.	Sign: hellighetten
	Print: P. Secra-Bucenboo
	Date: 16 September 209.
Chief Finance Officer / Treasurer	Sign:

Print: 4BBE7 GosGu

Date: 16/69/19

Publication

Is the report for publication?

YES

NO

If 'NO', please give reasons for non-publication (Where relevant, cite the security classification of the document(s). State 'none' if applicable)

If the report is not for publication, the Chief Executive will decide if and how the public can be informed of the decision.

<u>Redaction</u>

If the report is for publication, is redaction required:

3

[NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED]

1. Of Decision Sheet?	YES	2. Of Appendix?	YES	
	NO /		NO	
If 'YES', please provide details of required redaction:				
APPENDIX NOT TO SEPOSISHED AS MAKKED OPENIAL SENSITIVE" Date redaction carried out:				
Treasurer / Chief Executive Sign Off – for Redactions only				
If redaction is required, the Treasurer or Chief Executive is to sign off that redaction has been completed.				
Sign:			,	
Print:				
Chief Executive/Treasurer				
Decision and Final Sign Off				
I agree the recommendations to this report:				
Sign:	7,11	1. (
Print: L.C. HRIC				
PFCC/Deputy PFCC				
Date siç	gned:17/9	/19	8	
I do not agree the recommendations to this report because:				
Sign:				
Print: .				
PFCC/Deputy PFCC				

Date signed: