

PFCC Decision Report

Please ensure all sections below are completed

Report reference number: 036/19
Classification Not protectively marked
Title of report: 2018-2019 Community Projects
Area of county / stakeholders affected: Countywide
Report by: Kirsty Smith
Date of report: 11 th March 2019
Enquiries to: Kirsty.smith@essex.pnn.police.uk

1. Purpose of the report

- 1.1. To seek approval for an allocation of £69,347 in the form of crime and disorder reduction grants from the 18/19 Community Safety Fund to the organisations listed in Section 3 below.

2. Recommendations

- 2.1. Approve the allocation of £69,347 to local organisations to deliver a variety of community safety projects that will support the objectives of the Police and Crime Plan.

3. Benefits of the proposal

Applicant	Cost	Project Description	Police and Crime Plan Priorities
Sparks	£7,997	This is core funding for salaries. Sparks provides youth groups, wellbeing projects, mentoring and counselling projects for young people	Protecting Children and Vulnerable people from harm
South Essex Advocacy Service	£8,830	SEAS wants to provide older people in Rochford, Rayleigh and Basildon with access to information, advice and support if they experience financial abuse.	Protecting Children and Vulnerable people from harm
Southend Mixed Martial Arts	£14,040	Funding to develop and expand the Southend Youth Mixed Martial Arts Programme to deliver four early intervention cohorts to work with more vulnerable young people particularly young females at risk of CSE	Protecting Children and Vulnerable people from harm

Aberton Rural Training	£18,480	Funding will be used to deliver the At Risk Neets (ARN) Pilot project over 12 weeks for 16 young people who are NEET and support 85% of participants into further education, training or employment.	Crack down on ASB
Volunteering Matters WASSUP	£20,000	Funding will recruit, train and support young women (aged 18-24) who, as social action volunteers, will design and deliver campaigns, workshops, groups and support with, and for, their peers, professionals and the wider community to address issues relating to sexual exploitation and violence	Protecting Children and Vulnerable people from harm

4. Background and proposal

- 4.1. The organisations presented above in section 3 initially applied to the Community Safety Development Fund (CSDF) for funding to support their projects. The CSDF evaluation panel met on the 19th February and reviewed many competitive applications.
- 4.2. The organisations listed in section 3 were identified as strong applications and were recognised as projects that would benefit and support the Police and Crime Plan priorities. Therefore, it was recommended that the office of the PFCC review to see if there was opportunity to fund them outside of the CSDF.
- 4.3. Following this recommendation, the office of the PFCC also recognised the value and would like to provide funding to the projects identified in section 3 utilising the Community Safety Fund.

5. Alternative options considered and rejected

- 5.1. The alternative option is not to support the organisations and the projects. The impact of this would be;
- Organisations unable to deliver projects due to lack of alternative funding available that would benefit and support the police and crime plan priorities
 - Organisations have the opportunity to apply back to the CSDF next financial year however there is the risk again of not being funded
 - The PFCC missing effective opportunities to support Community Safety projects that positively benefit the Police and Crime Plan Priorities.

6. Police and Crime Plan

- 6.1. The projects mentioned in section 3 support the following priorities in the Police and Crime Plan
- Crack down on anti-social behaviour
 - Protecting children and vulnerable people

7. Police operational implications

- 7.1. There are no operational implications

8. Financial implications

- 8.1. The PFCC will provide £69,347 contribution to five organisations identified in section 3 from the 2018-19 Community Safety Fund.

9. Legal implications

9.1. The award of the grant is subject to the PFCC's standard funding agreement.

10. Staffing implications

10.1. There are no staffing implications

11. Equality and Diversity implications

11.1. There are no equality and diversity implications

12. Risks

12.1. No risks have been identified for this decision.

13. Governance Boards

13.1. All projects were reviewed by the Community Safety Development Fund evaluation panel and were recognised as potentially effective and valued projects.

14. Background papers

n/a

Report Approval

The report will be signed off by the OPFCC Chief Executive and Treasurer prior to review and sign off by the PFCC / DPFCC.

Chief Executive / M.O. Sign: [Signature].....
Print: P. Stewart-McKerrow.....
Date: 22 May 2019.....

Chief Finance Officer / Treasurer Sign: [Signature].....
Print: Arden Gough.....
Date: 24/5/19.....

Publication

Is the report for publication? YES
NO

If 'NO', please give reasons for non-publication (Where relevant, cite the security classification of the document(s). State 'None' if applicable)

.....
.....

If the report is not for publication, the Chief Executive will decide if and how the public can be informed of the decision.

Redaction

If the report is for publication, is redaction required:

1. Of Decision Sheet? YES NO
2. Of Appendix? YES NO

If 'YES', please provide details of required redaction:

.....
.....

Date redaction carried out:

Treasurer / Chief Executive Sign Off – for Redactions only
If redaction is required, the Treasurer or Chief Executive is to sign off that redaction has been completed.
Sign:
Print:
Chief Executive/Treasurer

