

## **PFCC Decision Report**

### Please ensure all sections below are completed

Report reference number: 025 /19

Classification: Not Protectively Marked

Title of report: Kick Off @3

Area of county / stakeholders affected: Thurrock

Report by: Kirsty Smith

Date of report: 15<sup>th</sup> February 2019

Enquiries to: kirsty.smith@essex.pnn.police.uk

#### 1. Purpose of the report

1.1. To seek approval for the allocation of £1,000 to Essex Police to support the Kick Off @3 event

#### 2. Recommendations

1.

2.1. Approve the allocation of £1,000 to Essex Police from the 2018/19 PFCC Community Safety Fund

#### 3. Benefits of the proposal

- 3.1. The following organisation's benefits have been reviewed and assessed as part of this proposal.
  - Confidence and Satisfaction The local policing area of Thurrock is one of the lowest areas across the county for public confidence and satisfaction, as demonstrated in the most recent OPFCC public survey. It is anticipated that confidence levels within Thurrock and in the wider force area have an opportunity to increase. Kick Off @3 was first trialled in the London Borough of Barnet and from its key engagement activities, increased local confidence and engagement

the local BAMF communities

#### 2. Visible Engagement

The structure of this initiative means that the community and wider communities (through media and communication campaigns) will see, feel and hear how local police officers have engaged with the local community of Thurrock on a grass-root level. This initiative will see police officers

satisfaction indicators within the local community and considerably within

running the tournaments and engaging with the young participants, showing a different type of engagement.

3. Serious Youth Violence and Gang Diversion
This initiative fully meets the requirements of an effective diversionary tactic, and has been adopted by the Metropolitan Police Service as an example of best practice in terms of community engagement and gang diversion activity. There are options available within this initiative to enhance its focus by working with key stakeholders, such as the youth offending service, to divert low to medium risk young people who are at risk of exploitation (gangs); as an early intervention tactic to prevent those who are on the periphery of criminality or an option to engage and reduce instances of young people regularly missing from home; getting them into employment, training or activity routines.

### 4. Background and proposal

- 4.1. KickOff@3 is a collaborative initiative set up by a community and youth engagement officer in the Metropolitan Police Service and a CEO who runs a social inclusion organisation that delivers free initiatives in the London Borough of Barnet. The group is a registered non-profit organisation. The initiative strives to engage with young people, using sport, music and other imaginative ideas to spread awareness and break down traditional barriers between the police service and hard-to-reach communities. The project engages with young people through the medium of sports and music and raises awareness and funds for a range of charities that promote health and well-being in young people.
- 4.2. Essex Police's Thurrock team would like to follow on from the success of the Metropolitan Police Service and trial the initiative in Thurrock. Through football, Essex Police wants to hold a local 7-a-side tournament. The local tournament will be for both boys and girls aged 13 to 14 years old and 15 to 16 years old. Both age groups must have their own tournament but they must be held on the same day and at the same venue. The winners of each tournament will qualify for the Kickoff@3 Finale at the end of the initiative's year. In order to deliver the event Essex Police is seeking £1,000 to cover medals, trophies, and transport to the finale for the winning teams as well as other ancillary items

### 5. Alternative options considered and rejected

- 5.1. This is a community event and if the PFCC does not support it the initiative will need to obtain other sources of funding to proceed.
- 5.2. There are no alternative projects known in Thurrock that look to achieve outcomes which improve engagement with Essex Police and are used as a diversionary activity.

### 6. Police and Crime Plan

6.1. This funding supports the Police and Crime Plan priority to deliver more local, visible and accessible policing and supports "tackling gangs and organised crime" as this is an early intervention initiative for young people

#### 7. Police operational implications

7.1. The Thurrock District Commander for Essex Police is leading on this piece of work. As an engagement event, it will require Essex Police resource however the Commander is managing this.

### 8. Financial implications

8.1. The PFCC will allocate a one-off grant of £1,000 from the 2018-19 CSF to Essex Police

## 9. Legal implications

9.1. The award of the grant is subject to the PFCC's standard funding agreement.

### 10. Staffing implications

10.1. There are no staffing implications

## 11. Equality and Diversity implications

11.1. There are no direct equality and diversity implications.

#### 12. Risks

12.1. There are no identified risks associated with this proposal

#### 13. Governance Boards

13.1. As a small funding request and for a community project this is not applicable to any governance boards.

## 14. Background papers

n/a

# Report Approval

The report will be signed off by the OPFCC Chief Executive and Treasurer prior to review and sign off by the PFCC / DPFCC.	
Chief Executive / M.O.	Sign: Mulliment
	Print: P. Brent-Isherward
	Date: 26 Macch 2019
Chief Finance Officer / Treasurer	Sign:
8.	Print: ABBET GOLGU
Publication	Date: 26/3/19
	YES
Is the report for publication?	
If 'NO', please give reasons for no	NO on-publication (Where relevant, cite the security
classification of the document(s). S	
If the report is not for publication, the Chief Executive will decide if and how the public can be informed of the decision.	
can be informed of the decision.	
can be informed of the decision.  Redaction	
	redaction required:
Redaction	redaction required:  2. Of Appendix? YES
Redaction  If the report is for publication, is	
Redaction  If the report is for publication, is 1. Of Decision Sheet? YES	2. Of Appendix? YES NO
Redaction  If the report is for publication, is  1. Of Decision Sheet? YES  NO	2. Of Appendix? YES NO
Redaction  If the report is for publication, is an anti-depth of the report is for publication, is an anti-depth of the report is for publication, is an anti-depth of the report is for publication, is an anti-depth of the report is for publication, is an anti-depth of the report is for publication, is an anti-depth of the report is for publication, is an anti-depth of the report is for publication, is an anti-depth of the report is for publication, is an anti-depth of the report is for publication, is an anti-depth of the report is for publication, is an anti-depth of the report is for publication, is an anti-depth of the report is for publication, is an anti-depth of the report is for publication, is an anti-depth of the report is an	2. Of Appendix? YES NO required redaction:
Redaction  If the report is for publication, is an anti-depth of the report is for publication, is an anti-depth of the redaction Sheet?  NO  If 'YES', please provide details of the redaction carried out:	2. Of Appendix? YES NO required redaction:
Redaction  If the report is for publication, is an anti-depth of the report is for publication, is an anti-depth of the report is for publication, is an anti-depth of the report is for publication, is an anti-depth of the report is for publication, is an anti-depth of the report is for publication, is an anti-depth of the report is for publication, is an anti-depth of the report is for publication, is an anti-depth of the report is for publication, is an anti-depth of the report is for publication, is an anti-depth of the report is for publication, is an anti-depth of the report is for publication, is an anti-depth of the report is for publication, is an anti-depth of the report is for publication, is an anti-depth of the report is an	2. Of Appendix? YES NO required redaction:
Redaction  If the report is for publication, is an action of the second	2. Of Appendix? YES NO required redaction:
Redaction  If the report is for publication, is a second set?  1. Of Decision Sheet? YES  NO  If 'YES', please provide details of the control	2. Of Appendix? YES NO NO gn Off – for Redactions only
Redaction  If the report is for publication, is a control of Decision Sheet? YES  NO  If 'YES', please provide details of the control out:  Treasurer / Chief Executive Sign:	2. Of Appendix? YES  NO  required redaction:  gn Off – for Redactions only  or Chief Executive is to sign off that redaction

Decision and Final Sign Off	
I agree the recommendations to this report:	
Sign: 169 Curber	
Print: VANE GARDWEL	
PFCC/Oeputy PFCC	
PFCC/Deputy PFCC)  Date signed: 26 Mazcu 2019	
I do not agree the recommendations to this report because:	
Sign:	
Print:	
PFCC/Deputy PFCC	
Date signed:	

