

PFCC Decision Report

Please ensure all sections below are completed

| |
|---|
| Report reference number: PCC119/18 |
| Classification Not protectively marked |
| Title of report: VAWG transformation fund evaluation |
| Area of County/Stakeholders affected: Countywide |
| Report by : Greg Myddelton |
| Date of report: 16 th August 2018 |
| Enquiries to: greg.myddelton@essex.pnn.police.uk |

1. Purpose of report

- 1.1. To approve the allocation of £20,000 to Anglia Ruskin University for the evaluation of the Essex Violence Against Women and Girls (VAWG) perpetrator pathway (Home Office transformation fund) programme.

2. Recommendations

- 2.1. Approve the allocation of £20,000 from the 2018-19 Community Safety Fund to Anglia Ruskin University for the VAWG TF evaluation.

3. Benefits of Proposal

- 3.1. The Essex VAWG perpetrator pathway project was launched following a successful bid to the Home Office VAWG transformation fund in 2016. The programme introduces a new suite of interventions that supplement existing options for perpetrators and improve the clarity and consistency of pathways into appropriate interventions ensuring the partnership is responding earlier, identifying opportunities to prevent harm, and fully aligning to existing services. Interventions include;

- The Bystander intervention initiative programme
- A community-based perpetrator outreach programme
- A male mentoring programme
- A prison-based intervention

- 3.2. In order to measure the efficacy and value of this new model the PFCC is seeking a research partner to deliver the following;

- An assessment of the impact of the overall VAWG perpetrator programme
- An assessment of the extent to which stated individual interventions' deliverables have been attained

- An assessment of any financial benefits of the programme
- An assessment of the pathway's impact on the partnership and wider stakeholders, particularly the desire to make a systemic change and improve awareness and accessibility of perpetrator interventions
- A final report outlining findings, impact and outcomes, achievements, and lessons learned

4. Background and proposal

- 4.1. This project is the recipient of £450,000 of Home Office funding over 3 years. As part of the application process the PFCC committed to finance and commission an independent evaluation of this programme.
- 4.2. This evaluation will inform the PFCC's future commissioning activity around perpetrator interventions post-April 2020.
- 4.3. The selection of Anglia Ruskin as the successful delivery partner followed a restricted procurement exercise supported by Essex Police procurement team.
- 4.4. Project is due to start in October 2018 and will take nine months.

5. Police and Crime Plan

- 5.1. This evaluation supports the Police and Crime Plan priority to break the cycle of domestic abuse and protect children and vulnerable people from harm.

6. Police Operational Implications

- 6.1. There are no direct operational implications of this decision for Essex Police.

7. Financial Implications

- 7.1. The PFCC will allocate a one-off grant of £20,000 from the 2018-19 Community Safety Fund to Anglia Ruskin University

8. Legal Implications

- 8.1. There are no legal implications

9. Staffing and other resource implications

- 9.1. There are no direct staffing implications as a result of this decision

10. Equality and Diversity implications

- 10.1. There are no direct equality and diversity implications.

Report Approval

The report will be signed off by the OPFCC Chief Executive and Treasurer, prior to review and sign off by the PFCC / DPFCC .

Chief Executive/M.O

Sign: *Paul R Feild*
Print: ...Dr Paul Feild

Date: ...21st August 2018...

Chief Financial Officer/Treasurer

Sign: *A. G. G. G.*

Print: ...*Abey Gunaratne*...

Date: ...21. | 8. | 18...

Publication

Is the report for publication? YES
NO

If 'NO', please give reasons for non-publication (state 'None' if applicable)

.....
.....

If the report is not for publication, the Chief Executive will decide if and how the public can be informed of the decision.

Redaction

If the report is for publication, is redaction required:

1. Of Decision Sheet YES NO 2. Of Appendix YES NO

If 'YES', please provide details of required redaction:

.....
.....

Date redaction carried out:

Treasurer / Chief Executive Sign Off – for Redactions only

If redaction is required, Treasurer or Chief Executive are to sign off that redaction has been completed.

Sign:

Print:

Chief Executive/Treasurer

Date signed:

Decision and Final Sign Off

I agree the recommendations to this report;

Sign: 

Print: C. HIRST

PFCC/Deputy PFCC

Date signed: 2/18/18

I do not agree the recommendations to this report because;

.....

.....

.....

Sign:

Print:

PFCC/Deputy PFCC

Date signed: