

PFCC Decision Report

Please ensure all sections below are completed

Report reference number: 020/2018
Classification Not protectively marked
Title of report: PFCC core CSP funding allocations 2018/19
Area of County/Stakeholders affected: Countywide
Report by : Greg Myddelton
Date of report: 7 March 2018
Enquiries to: greg.myddelton@essex.pnn.police.uk

- 1. Purpose of report**
To approve the allocation of core grants from the Community Safety Fund to the 14 Community Safety Partnerships (CSPs) and to the central Essex DHR fund
- 2. Recommendations**
Approve the allocation of £309,725 to support local Community Safety Partnerships to deliver against the priorities within the Police and Crime Plan
- 3. Benefits of Proposal**
This grant funding enables CSPs to undertake local initiatives and projects that address issues identified as priorities within their strategic assessments and annual partnership plans. These plans are required to have regard to the priorities set out in the Police and Crime Plan
- 4. Background and proposal**
The PFCC will use a proportion of this funding to contribute to the central fund for Domestic Homicide Reviews (DHRs) which was created by the PFCC last year. This fund provides co-ordination of DHR processes; administration of DHR panels; funding for independent chairs; lesson learning seminars; and, monitoring and reporting on DHR recommendations implementation. The fund is managed by the Essex domestic abuse partnership.

The PFCC will provide the following CSP grant funding allocations in 2018/19, this is the same as 2017/18 allocations;

Area	2017/18 grant
Basildon	£25,849
Braintree	£17,739
Brentwood	£14,106
Castle Point	£15,190
Chelmsford	£19,191
Colchester	£22,511
Epping Forest	£19,268
Harlow	£24,362
Maldon	£12,527
Rochford	£12,337
Southend-on-Sea	£27,714
Tendring	£25,094
Thurrock	£24,976
Uttlesford	£11,693
Central Domestic Homicide Review Fund	£37,167
	£309,725

These grants represent annual contributions from the OPFCC to Community Safety Partnerships. The funding formula is based on population size, deprivation and crime levels.

Community Safety Partnerships are statutory alliances that are responsible for developing local approaches to deal with issues including anti-social behaviour, substance misuse and re-offending. CSPs bring together local statutory, voluntary and private sector partners to tackle community safety issues.

These grants are monitored against CSPs annual partnership plans and strategic assessments.

5. Police and Crime Plan

These grants will support CSPs to deliver against the priorities within the Police & Crime Plan through a range of locally funded initiatives, and through the sharing of information amongst members of the partnerships.

CSPs are responsible for ensuring the effective delivery of local Community Safety Hub arrangements.

6. Police Operational Implications

Essex Police are statutory members of local Community Safety Partnerships. CSPs will be expected to continue to develop local Community Safety Hub models which may involve co-location and sharing of information with Essex Police officers and staff.

7. Financial Implications

The allocation of grant monies to the CSPs and central DHR fund by the PFCC amounting to £309,725 is funded from the PFCC's 2018-9 Community Safety Fund budget of £2.3m. There are no ongoing commitments on the PFCC arising from the allocation of these grant monies

8. Legal Implications

There is a statutory requirement for PFCC's to support Community Safety Partnerships.

The PFCC considers that the CSP's locally developed initiatives and the development of local Community Safety Hubs will secure, or contribute to securing, crime and disorder reduction in each area.

9. Staffing and other resource implications

Community Safety Partnerships may use a portion of their funding to recruit, employ, and train staff. The funding agreements between OPFCC and grant recipients state that responsibility for any employment rights and liabilities are the exclusive responsibility of the grant recipient.

10. Equality and Diversity implications

There are no direct equality and diversity implications

Report Approval

The report will be signed off by the OPFCC Chief Executive and Treasurer, prior to review and sign off by the PFCC / DPFCC .

Chief Executive/M.O Sign: *S. Hancock*

Print: *S. Hancock*

Date: *14/13/18*

Chief Financial Officer/Treasurer Sign: *Charles A. Garsell*

Print: *CHARLES A. GARSELL*

Date: *14 March 2018*

Publication

Is the report for publication? YES
NO

If 'NO', please give reasons for non-publication (state 'None' if applicable)
.....
.....

If the report is not for publication, the Chief Executive will decide if and how the public can be informed of the decision.

Redaction

If the report is for publication, is redaction required:

1. Of Decision Sheet YES NO 2. Of Appendix YES NO

If 'YES', please provide details of required redaction:
.....
.....

Date redaction carried out:

Treasurer / Chief Executive Sign Off – for Redactions only
If redaction is required, Treasurer or Chief Executive are to sign off that redaction has been completed.
Sign:
Print:
Chief Executive/Treasurer
Date signed:

Decision and Final Sign Off

I agree the recommendations to this report;

Sign: 

Print: *R. C. Hesse*

~~PFCC/Deputy PFCC~~

Date signed: *23/3/18*

I do not agree the recommendations to this report because;

.....
.....
.....

Sign:

Print:

PFCC/Deputy PFCC

Date signed:

